Mindfulness for patients with rheumatoid arthritis: systematic review

Abstract
Objectives: To evaluate the effectiveness of mindfulness as a complementary therapy in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Method: Protocol numbered CRD42017080108 on the PROSPERO platform. Manual searches and the Cochrane Collation assessment instrument were performed for systematic reviews in the databases CENTRAL, MEDLINE, PEDro, PsycINFO, LILACS, Web of Science, clinical.trials.gov, WHO-ICTRP and Open Gray. Randomized controlled trials were included without language restrictions or mindfulness temporality compared to placebo, psychotherapy or another equivalent strategy in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Results: Four primary studies included (249 participants), which compared mindfulness with waiting lists, cognitive therapy and education. They presented better scores of disease activities, depressive symptoms, psychological distress, well-being, pain catastrophization, although they were classified as having a high risk of occurrence in at least one domain of the instrument used, presenting the need for robust clinical trials, proving the effectiveness of mindfulness in clinical practice. Conclusions: Beneficial effects of mindfulness in patients with rheumatoid arthritis are shown to present important results of changes in outcomes that affect the biopsychosocial dimensions in patients with. However, the evidence from the studies evaluated is of low quality, making it difficult to recommend intervention in clinical practice.
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reumatoide por apresentar resultados importantes de mudanças nos desfechos que afetam as dimensões biopsicossociais em pacientes com. Porém, as evidências advindas dos estudos avaliados são de baixa qualidade, dificultando a recomendação da intervenção na prática clínica.

Palavras chave: Artrite reumatoide; Mindfulness; Terapia complementar.

Resumen
Objetivos: Evaluar la efectividad del mindfulness como terapia complementaria en pacientes con artritis reumatoide. Método: Protocolo numerado CRD42017080108 en la plataforma PROSPERO. Se realizaron búsquedas manuales y el instrumento de evaluación Cochrane Collation para revisiones sistemáticas en las bases de datos CENTRAL, MEDLINE, PEDro, PsycoINFO, LILACS, Web of Science, Clinical Trial.gov, WHO-ICTRP y Open Gray. Se incluyeron ensayos controlados aleatorios sin restricciones de idioma o temporalidad de atención plena en comparación con placebo, psicoterapia u otra estrategia equivalente en pacientes con artritis reumatoide. Resultados: Se incluyeron cuatro estudios primarios (249 participantes), que compararon la atención plena con listas de espera, terapia cognitiva y educación. Presentaron mejores puntajes de actividades de la enfermedad, síntomas depresivos, malestar psicológico, bienestar, catastrofización del dolor, aunque fueron clasificados como de alto riesgo de ocurrencia en al menos un dominio del instrumento utilizado, presentando la necesidad de ensayos clínicos robustos, que demuestren la efectividad del mindfulness en la práctica clínica. Conclusiones: Se ha demostrado que los efectos beneficiosos de la atención plena en pacientes con artritis reumatoide presentan resultados importantes de cambios en los resultados que afectan las dimensiones biopsicosociales en pacientes con. Sin embargo, la evidencia de los estudios evaluados es de bajo calidad, lo que dificulta recomendar una intervención en la práctica clínica.

Palabras clave: Artritis reumatoide; Mindfulness; Terapia complementaria.

1. Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) targets 1% of the global population, being it characterized as an autoimmune systemic disease with chronic progressive inflammation, which causes deformity and destruction of articulations, causing a negative impact in the autonomy for performance of daily activities, quality of life, leading to cardiovascular diseases as well as in the lungs, psychological disorders, and increases in mortality rates (Bértolo et al, 2009; Myasoedova et al, 2010; Singh et al, 2015).

The correlation of genetic and environmental factors is reversed in a cascade of immunologic reactions mediated by the production of autoinducers, activation of T cells, tumoral necrosis factor (TNF-α) and interleukin 6 (IL-6), which cause synovitis, harms in articulations and in bones leading to pain, inability, and emotional, economic, and social challenges (Gibofsky, 2012). The standard treatment for RA is composed by modifying medicaments throughout the progress of the disease, conventional synthetic and biological drugs, though there has been a crescent search of transcendent complementary therapeutic interventions to the biologic sphere, which includes the individual as a holistic and singular being (Bértolo et al, 2009; Demarzo, 2011).

Mindfulness has been highlighted as a possible promising complementary therapy, once it promotes the reeducation of thoughts through training, as well as enabling the change of habits and perspective toward the way in which the experiences of the disease and pain are lived, enabling the individuals to face the adversities under a new perspective, without fearing of being the motivational agent of their own behavior (Young, 2011)

A study carried out with women diagnosed with breast cancer in a Mindfulness Based Stresse Redution (MBSR) program has stated that the intervention group presented decrease in the cortisol plasmatic level during the morning, while the same change has not been noticed in the control group. An immune function evaluation was done in both groups, and the MBSR group’s participants have presented homeostasis restauraation between Natural Killers cells (NKCA) and cytokines, while in the control group the immune irregularity persisted. It has been concluded that high levels of NKCA in patients with cancer have indicated a good prognostic, since these cells identify and destruct genotoxic cells, and also with damaged DNA (Witek-Janusek et al, 2008).

Systematic reviews have shown that the results of mindfulness therapy have been fruitful in the progress of mental
health, reduction of stress, anxiety, and depression, besides contributing positively with the psychological well-being of healthy participants (Fjorback et al, 2011; Chiesa & Serretti, 2009). Thus, considering the relevance of mindfulness, the objective of the present systematic review was to assess the effectiveness of mindfulness as a complementary therapy for patients with rheumatoid arthritis.

2. Methodology

Design

This is a systematic review of randomized clinical trials (RCTs), guided by recommendations from Cochrane Handbook for systematic Review of Interventions. Prisma Recommendation (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses: the PRISMA statement) was used in order to guide this review (see additional file 1) (Liberati et al, 2009).

The protocol of this study was registered at the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO), entitled: “Mindfulness for rheumatoid arthritis patients: a systematic review”, and register: CRD42017080108, 18/12/17.

PICO strategy has been used to structure the research question, in which P (Patient) relates to the patients with rheumatoid arthritis, I (Intervention) for the use of mindfulness; C (Comparison) to the use of sham, placebo, and other therapies; and O (Outcomes), divided in primary: handling the symptoms, improvement in quality of life, and secondary: patient’s satisfaction, reduction in pain, and hardness in articulations (Stone, 2002).

Based on this structure, the following question was elaborated: Mindfulness is more effective than sham, yoga, and another equivalent strategy for individuals with rheumatoid arthritis?

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Studies performed in adults, individuals with rheumatoid arthritis were included, while those with participants under 18 and other rheumatic diseases were excluded.

Search strategy

An intensive search in electronic databases were done by two reviewers, independently. There has been no restriction of which language nor year of publication, considering published and non-published studies, reports, reviews, and studies in progress.

The electronic databases used for identifying the studies were: Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), via Wiley Cochrane Library, Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online (MEDLINE), via PubMed. Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro), The PsycINFO Database-American Psychological Association (APA), Literatura Latino Americana e do Caribe em Ciências da Saúde (LILACS), via Biblioteca Virtual em Saúde (BVS), Web of Science.

The search strategies in the databases were highly sensible for identifying randomized controlled trial and have used controlled and non-controlled descriptors combined with boolean operators, of proximity and truncations, which have been presented in a peculiar search protocol (see additional file 2) for each database (Lefebvre, Manheimer & Glanville, 2011).

Newly concluded studies have been assessed, or in progress, with register at Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICTRP), of the World Health Organization (WHO), available at: http://apps.who.int/trialsearch/default.aspx, and at ClinicalTrials.gov (clinicaltrials.gov). Besides that, the grey literature can be accessed through Open Grey. Manual searches were done in the list of reference of important papers in order to identify randomized clinical trials non-recovered in searches in databases, having annuals of national and international events assessed about the subject: 34th Brazilian Congress in

Selection of studies and data extraction

The selection of papers was performed in three stages by two reviewers, independently.

In the first stage the titles/abstracts were analyzed considering the research question, and inclusion and exclusion criteria of the protocol of this systematic review.

In the second stage the selected studies of the first stage were analyzed fully for its eligibility through a paper selection instrument, elaborated according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria of this review and according to the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (Consort), instrument used to report randomized clinical trials (Hopewell et al, 2008).

In the third stage the selected articles were analyzed fully, this time having data extraction forms, based on recommendations from Cochrane Handbook, an evaluation instrument by the Cochrane Collaboration (Higgins & Green, 2012).

A reviewer has performed the data extraction from the articles included in the review, and another senior reviewer has checked rigorously this stage. Predefined forms were used, elaborated according to recommendations from the Cochrane Collaboration, with the following items: title, authors, year, journal, location of the study, eligibility criteria, design of the study, allocation method, allocation concealment, masking, risk of bias, type of analysis, number of participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes performed, time of follow-up, follow-up, and results. The disagreements were solved by consensus, or through the assessment by a third reviewer. When there was incompleteness of the data in the articles included in the review, the authors were contacted for obtaining additional information. It has been established contact with an author from New Zealand in order to complete the extraction of information of the study.

Study quality appraisal

The risk evaluation of the bias for each study included was performed by the use of an instrument available by the Cochrane Collaboration, which owns seven domains: generation of the allocation sequence, secrecy of allocation, masking of participants and professionals, masking of evaluators of outcomes, outcomes of incomplete data, other sources of bias, for each domain it has been attributed a low, uncertain, or high risk of bias (Higgins & Green, 2012).

3. Results

Study settings and participants

The initial search has raised 5,495 references spread in manual search and in databases: 19 in PsycoInfo, 565 in Central, 101 in PEDro, 25 in clinicaltrials.gov, 550 in Who ICTRP, 808 in Medline, 126 in Web of Science, 738 in Lilacs, 259 in Open Grey, 1,066 in Annals of rheumatic diseases. In electronic databases, 4,258 references were recovered, of which 1,237 were done by manual search.

After removing 689 doubled references, the titles and abstracts of 4,806 references were read, of which 4,800 were excluded for not meeting the inclusion criteria. The last 6 references composed by 4 complete studies and 2 protocols were analyzed fully. The Figure 1 details the selection and filtering process of the studies:
Figure 1 - Prisma Flowdiagram, São Paulo, 2021.

Four finalized studies included composed a total of 249 participants, diagnozed with rheumatoid arthritis, mainly female, being 76.3% with ages ranging from 18 to 75 years old.

These studies are heterogeneous in aspects related to the number and duration of sessions of mindfulness, to the comparators, outcomes, statistic tests performed and follow-up.

The main characteristics included are presented in the Table 1 below:
Table 1 - Characteristics of the studies included, São Paulo, 2021.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical register</td>
<td>ACTRN12610000485033</td>
<td>NCT00475111</td>
<td>NCT00071292</td>
<td>NCT00475111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of the studies</td>
<td>Auckland, New Zealand</td>
<td>Arizona, the United States</td>
<td>Maryland, the United States</td>
<td>Arizona, the United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>N= 42</td>
<td>N= 144</td>
<td>N= 63</td>
<td>N=144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>8-week program of MBSR, developed by the University of Massachusetts</td>
<td>Cognitive Therapy for pain mindfulness meditation</td>
<td>8-week program of MBSR</td>
<td>Cognitive therapy for pain mindfulness meditation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Waiting list: control group agreed in joining the MBSR program after collecting the data</td>
<td>Education on Arthritis (Group E)</td>
<td>waiting list</td>
<td>Education on Arthritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medications</td>
<td>Methotrexate, Prednisone</td>
<td>there is no description of medications in the study</td>
<td>Disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDS)</td>
<td>Corticosteroid Biologic response modifiers Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors.

The Table 2 presents the synthesis of results of studies included in the review.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fogarty et al 2015</td>
<td>Disease activity score - 28 (DAS 28) which includes: number of sensible and swollen articulations, level of reactive C protein (PCR), morning boldness, pain</td>
<td>MBSR group: reduction of DAS-28-PCR (p&lt;0.01), right after the intervention and during follow-up. Reduction in counting articulations in pain (p&lt;0.02), pain score (p&lt;0.02) and reduction in morning boldness (p&lt;0.03).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis et al 2015</td>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>Mindfulness group has produced substantial benefits that the cognitive therapy group for pain and education on fatigue (p&lt;.02), in morning inability (p&lt;.02), improvement in distress in anxious affection (p&lt;0.04), in catastrophizing (p&lt;.02), pain control (p&lt;.02), and improvement in serene affection (p&lt;0.03). In often depressive individuals, mindfulness was more effective than cognitive therapy and education (p&lt;.0003).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zautra et al 2008</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>Mindfulness group has reported greater levels of pain control (p&lt;.01) than education group (p&lt;.02) and has demonstrated an increase less noticeable in catastrophizing associated to the daily pain episode (p&lt;.004). There has been an improvement in the inability and fatigue (p&lt;.008), in distress episodes, and changes in serene and anxious affections (p&lt;.03). There has been no reduction in serum levels of IL-6 for mindfulness group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pradhan et al 2007</td>
<td>Psychological stress (DAS 28) Well-being Attention state</td>
<td>There has been no significant difference in outcome after 2 months of intervention (p=0.52). Depressive symptoms (p=0.52; psychological distress (p=0.27); well-being (p=0.14); attention state (p=0.57); DAS-28 (p=0.31). After 6 months, there has been an improvement associated to the intervention (p&lt;0.03); depressive symptoms (0.05).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors.

For the generation domain of the randomized sequence, this evaluation has shown that all the studies were classified as having low risk of bias of selection.

Regarding the allocation secrecy, just two studies (Fogarty et al, 2015; Pradhan et al, 2007) were classified as having low risk of bias of selection, while the others presented an uncertain risk for this domain (Davis et al, 2015; Zautra et al, 2008). About the blinding domain of participants and personal, two studies (Fogarty et al, 2015; Pradhan et al, 2007) have presented high risk of bias, with none presenting low bias risk of performance (Davis et al, 2015; Zautra et al, 2008).
In blinding the outcome evaluators, the study (Fogarty et al, 2015) presented a low risk, and the study (Pradhan et al, 2007) a high risk of bias of detection. Two studies had an uncertain risk of bias for this domain.

In the incomplete outcome data domain three studies were found (Pradhan et al, 2007; Davis et al, 2015; Zautra et al, 2008) presenting low risk of bias of attrition, once all losses were reported in a transparent way. There was only one study that has not reported losses (Fogarty et al. al 2015).

In the domain related to the selective reporting, only the studies (Davis et al, 2015; Zautra et al, 2008) had a low risk of bias of reporting. In the study (Fogarty et al 2015), the outcomes depression, anxiety, and well-being were present in the protocol of the study, no being reported in the original article. It is highlighted that in the study (Pradhan et al, 2007) the outcomes were not described in the protocol of the study.

The “high risk of bias” present in many domains of the evaluation limits the robustness of the body of evidences coming from these trials, once the bias corresponds to the systematic error that impairs the truthfulness of the studies (Higgins & Green, 2012).

The assessment of risk of bias was done through an instrument from the Cochrane Collaboration and Figure 2 presents the summary of risk of bias for each domain.

**Figure 2 - Risk of bias summary: review authors’ judgements about each risk of bias item for each included study, São Paulo, 2021.**

Source: Authors.

4. Discussion

This systematic review had the purpose to evaluate the effectiveness of mindfulness as an integrative practice for patients with rheumatoid arthritis by presenting important results to changes in several outcomes, such as improvement in Disease Activity Scores (DAS-28- CRP), morning boldness, pain, global evaluation of the patient, depressive symptoms, psychological distress, well-being, improvements in positive and negative affections, reduction in counting inflamed
articulations, reactivity to stress and catastrophizing pain, improvements in reported pain, fatigue, morning dysfunction, anxious and serene affections, raising the attention of numerous researchers in the last years.

In agreement with the data from the literature, the randomized clinical trial performed with 91 women presenting fibromyalgia has shown that MBSR has not changed significantly the parameters of pain, physical functionality nor cortisol. Nevertheless, the analyses have stated that this therapy has minimized significantly the noticed stress, sleeping disturbances, and seriousness of symptoms, with gains kept during the follow-up (Cash et al, 2015).

A study performed with 46 patients with Systemic lupus erythematosus in which the goal was to determine the effectiveness of the therapy with mindfulness has observed a reduction in outcomes such as depression, anxiety, somatization, and increase in quality of life of patients, clarifying that this intervention enables them to have consciousness of their corporal sensations, thoughts, and emotions without judgement, allowing a reduction and elimination of negative thoughts, and enhancing quality of life (Solati et al, 2017).

A clinical trial included in the sample of this review with 42 patients with rheumatoid arthritis compared MBSR with the waiting list, and have shown improvement in DAS 28-CRP, morning boldness, pain, and global evaluation of the patient right after the intervention, and after 4 and 6 months. The Disease Activity Score in 28 joints - C-reactive protein (DAS 28-CRP) was proposed to assess the activity of RA in clinical practice, an index that takes into consideration the number of articulations in pain and swelling, the biomarker for reactive protein C, and the global evaluation of the patient. It is highlighted that the presence of pain, edema in articulations, morning stiffness and reactive protein C are indicators of an inflammatory process, once through autoimmune reactions of cells T and B and inflammatory cytokines are produced in the synovial membrane causing the signs and symptoms of RA (Fogarty et al, 2015; Van der Heijde et al, 1990; Firestein, 2003). Other study (Fogarty et al, 2015) has stated a decrease of these variables measured by the inflammatory process in the intervention group with MBSR, being this fact explained by the activation of ordered mindfulness at the pre-frontal cortex, brain region involved with memory, attention, decision making, planning, social relations, and flexibility in behavior (Chiese, Serreti, 2010; Damasio, 2000). This brain region can be harmed by chronic stress, once there is a reduction in volume in superficial areas due to the presence of increased levels of corticosterone produced in cascade prior to stress (Cerqueira, Almeida & Sousa, 2008). It is known that the intervention with mindfulness can contribute to the decrease in levels of stress and their components reducing signs and symptoms related to the inflammation, being an example one mentioned in a study that has induced the cutaneous inflammatory response through a topical cream of capsaicin stating that the intervention group with mindfulness had reduced the development of the inflammation with a more satisfactory performance in terms of the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis, directly involved in the physiology of stress, besides having a more intense drop of cortisol after training, when compared to the pre-training of those in the control group (Rosenkranz et al, 2013).

A study done with 80 participants in which the intervention was meditation, it has been stated that in their analysis in the experimental group, there were higher levels of IgA, and lower ones of cortisol in saliva, after an acute stressor agent, showing this way a strengthening of the immunologic system and improvement in reaction to stress (Yi-Yuan, 2007).

A clinical research included in this review has shown no significant difference in the activity of the disease in the MBSR group and waiting list at 2 months after the intervention. After 6 months, however, it has been stated improvements in depressive symptoms, stress, and psychological well-being (Pradhan et al, 2007).

The authors of this study affirm that the reason for the absence of effects at 2 months is not clear, once the intervention of 8 weeks with MBSE has been presented as able to reduce trustworthy outcomes such as depressive symptoms, anxiety, and stress (Grossman et al, 2004). The authors state that there has probably been a floor effect in their study, with participants at not so high stages of depression nor psychological impairments. The level of psychological suffering was 45% lower in this study than the other that has used the same instrument for evaluation and basal level of psychological anguish...
being 72% higher (Bardwell et al., 2002). The floor effect can impair the internal validity of a clinical trial, since it affects the visible differences, relevant to the effect of clinical practice intervention. Scales for assessing the outcomes must be trustworthy, being tested in pilot studies, what has happened with this study (Pradhan et al., 2007), though the scales may have had a good construction validity, there were characteristics that were not well distributed within the population (Fogg & Gross, 2000). After 6 months, however, it has been noticed improvements in depressive symptoms, stress, and psychological well-being. Mindfulness increases the psychological well-being, once it stimulates cognitive activities for controlling attention, reducing rumination, increasing concentration, and optimizing memory, favoring emotional regulation (Chambers, Lo, & Allen, 2008). This practice contributes, then, to the development of non-reactivity, and mitigating depression symptoms (Teasdale et al., 2002).

The systematic review and its meta-analysis that investigated the effect of meditation programs on stress and anxiety has presented a reduction in anxiety, depression, and pain with moderate levels of evidence, and light improvements in stress and affliction in mental health. The quality of life had low evidence when compared to non-specific active controls in groups that had taken mindfulness meditation as intervention (Goyal et al., 2014).

Randomized clinical trial with an intervention based on mindfulness, and the control group receiving care has shown that the number of participants with severe or chronic psychological stress was reduced from 13 to 2 in comparison with the reduction from 10 to 8 after 1 year, in the control group performed with people with rheumatic inflammatory diseases. The treatment has presented effectiveness in pain and fatigue, which has increased in the post treatment for the 12 following months. The study evidenced the importance of expressing emotions, once the inhibition has been associated to the bad adaptation to chronic diseases. Mindfulness contributes so these life experiences are lived in a way so it can reduce the impact of stress and emotions such as fear, anger, and guilt, which can contribute to the appearing of pain and fatigue (Zangi et al., 2012).

A systematic review with meta-analysis concluded that the therapies based on acceptance has low to average effects on mental health of patients with chronic pain, which are equivalent to the behavior cognitive therapy, though due to the relevant function of acceptance and mindfulness in the adaptation to chronic disease, being it promising to the development of studies that involve interventions that integrate MBSR with behavioral therapy (Veehof et al., 2011).

A meta-analysis conducted to examine the effectiveness of the therapy with mindfulness in mental health of people with chronic disease found that MBSR has shown slight effects over depression, anxiety, and affliction in people with chronic diseases. Nonetheless, the authors state that this result can be undervalued due to the ceiling effect of studies in which the independent variable stops affecting the dependent variable. In order to solve this problem, the authors recommend new studies in which participants with moderate to high levels of anxiety and depressions could be included, in order to prevent the ceiling effect, besides including measurements for the follow-up (Bohmeijer et al., 2009).

A narrative review study presents the importance of psychotherapeutic approaches while treating people with rheumatoid arthritis, highlighting the importance in controlling the disease’s activity with traditional pharmacotherapy, however, it is indispensable the development of strategies for facing pain, and chronic distress, which can be triggered by this disease. Anxiety and depression, for instance, are common symptoms in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, and it can impair the effectiveness of the pharmacologic treatment. Thus, this study has highlighted the importance of strengthening the power of mental resilience, and in believing that mindfulness can collaborate with this outcome (Graninger, 2015).

A study performed with 43 participants with rheumatoid arthritis had as its goal to examine the correlation between the positive affection with a resilience element, in the ratio between pain and negative affection. It has been concluded that positive affection is an important component for the development of resilience, and it contributes to minimize oscillations in the disease’s activity. This way, the mindfulness practice can be a source of production of positive affection, being possible to
state this process as simply “paying attention” to the present moment, facilitating the growth of positive affection (Strand, 2006; Brown & Ryan, 2004).

In agreement with these findings, the study included in the sample of this review compared mindfulness education and cognitive therapy for patients with rheumatoid arthritis. The participants with recurrent depression had noticeable improvements in the positive and negative affection, and in counting inflamed articulations. Mindfulness has shown noticeable modifications in pain, reactivity to stress, and catastrophizing pain after the intervention, when compared to the cognitive therapy and education (Zautra et al, 2008).

In a post hoc analysis above mentioned, mindfulness has presented greater changes in catastrophizing, morning boldness, fatigue, serene and anxious affection compared to the cognitive therapy and education. In patients with recurrent depression, mindfulness presented significant improvements in fatigue when compared to experiences full of catastrophizing, anxiety, pain, fatigue, which contributes so these life experiences be examined with acceptance, free from judgments, and rationality, thus minimizing the reactivity regarding adverse situations (Davis et al, 2015; Garland et al, 2012).

Regarding the evaluation of risk of bias, the studies were classified as having high risk, or uncertain in several domains such as allocation concealment, blinding of participants, incomplete outcomes, selective reporting of outcome. Thus, all these aspects contribute to evidences of limited quality that do not offer forceful and trustworthy responses about the effect of the intervention with mindfulness in patients with rheumatoid arthritis.

It is highlighted the importance of randomized clinical trials well outlined and conducted with methodological rigor are indispensable, so that it is possible to produce solid evidences, robust regarding intervention mindfulness to patients with rheumatoid arthritis.

Some variables are relevant and should be considered for future clinical trials, diagnosis timing, and seriousness of the disease, the identification of characteristics of participants such as personality, perception of the disease, their facing styles, once these can become responsive to the psychological interventions.

A limiter factor in this study was the type of outcome assessed in trials included in the review because they are subjective. Unfortunately, outcomes reported by patients or dependent on judgment of an observer are subjected to bias due to the occurrence in inappropriate blinding (Moustagaard et al, 2014).

Confirming what has been exposed previously, another research that performed sub-studies within the same clinical trial, with and without blinding was able to check a significant exaggeration in sizes of effects due to the blinding gap of the participants (Hrobjartsson et al, 2014).

5. Conclusion

This revision has shown beneficial effects of mindfulness by presenting important results of changes in outcomes that affect biopsychosocial dimensions in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. However, the evidences coming from the studies assessed are of low quality, impairing the recommendation of the intervention in clinical practice.
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