Technological and antioxidant characteristics of pasta with whole wheat flour and natural colored concentrates

Características tecnológicas e antioxidantes de massa alimentícia com farinha de trigo de grão inteiro e concentrados coloridos naturais

Resumo
A massa alimentícia contendo farinha de grão inteiro é rica em fibra e antioxidantes, mas apresenta cor escura e características de cozimento alteradas. Esse estudo objetivou avaliar os efeitos dos concentrados naturais coloridos amarelo (YNC) e rosa (PNC) em massa fresca contendo farinha de trigo de grão inteiro, no conteúdo de fibra, e propriedades tecnológicas e antioxidantes. Foi usada massa controle (CP) (70:30 w:w farinha de trigo de grão inteiro (WGF): farinha de trigo refinada (RWF)) para comparação com as demais massas que tiveram YNC e PNC em concentrações de 1a 2 g/100 g em massas contendo de 60 a 70 g/100 g de WGF, usando um delineamento linear fatorial 22, com três pontos centrais. YNC e PNC modificaram a cor das massas de grão inteiro, sem alterar suas características tecnológicas. A massa amarela (YP1, 60:40 WGF:RWF w:w, 1 g YNC/100 g) e massa rosa (PP9, 70:30 WGF:RWF w:w, 1 g PNC/100 g) apresentaram cor, textura, peso gain e cooking loss iguais à massa controle, e foram selecionadas para análises antioxidantes. O estudo revelou que os concentrados coloridos naturais são um alternativo para modificar a cor do pasta de grão inteiro, sem alterar suas características funcional e tecnológicas.
Pastas containing fibers or whole wheat flour present different texture, cooking and color characteristics to those produced only with flour or semolina and water, either with bread wheat (Triticum aestivum) or hard wheat flour (Triticum durum) (Miceli et al., 2015). Pastas are considered as a source of slowly released carbohydrates. Therefore, producing a low GI (Hager et al., 2013), due to their compact structure; however, with fiber addition, they can provide a further GI reduction, as verified by Chillo et al. (2011), in durum wheat pastas with addition of up to 10% β-glucan. Therefore, pastas can be healthier when added with wheat bran (Sobota et al., 2015) and other fiber sources, such as oat bran, psyllium, inuline (Foschia et al., 2015), and resistant starch (Aravind, Sissons, Fellows, Blazek, & Gilbert, 2013).

With the aim of obtaining additional functional and economic benefits, pastas with whole wheat flour have gained importance, besides from presenting phytochemical compounds (Okarter et al., 2010) and fibers, they also result in economic gain, principally for countries that import wheat, since there is an increase in the use of the wheat grain external parts and germ for human consumption, which correspond to 25% of the grain (Pomeranz (Ed.), 1988).

Pastas containing fibers or whole wheat flour present different texture, cooking and color characteristics to those obtained only with bread or durum wheat flour, as seen by Aravind, Sissons, Egan, and Fellows (2012), who added separately wheat germ and bran in durum wheat pastas. The pastas with whole wheat flours presented a different color from the characteristic yellowish color of pastas.

The production of colored pastas with other ingredients addition, as spinach leafs and carrot concentrates, already exists industrially, and many researches have shown the possibilities of pastas color modification, with the addition of oregano and carrot leaf addition (Boroski et al., 2011), elderberry juice concentrate (Sun-Waterhouse, Jin, & Waterhouse 2013), red sorghum flour (Khan, Yousif, Johnson, & Gamalath, 2013), spiruline (Rodríguez De Marco, Steffolani, Martínez, & León, 2014), grape power (Sant’Anna, Christiano, Marczak, Tessaro, & Thys, 2014), and parsley leaves (Seczyk, Swieca, Gawlik-Dziki, Luty, & Czyz, 2016). These ingredients gave colors that varied from green, red, up to purple, since the durum wheat, naturally yellowish, acquired the corresponding ingredient color, and some of them added nutritional and functional benefits to pastas. However, they are being used in concentrations that increase the dilution of the paste’s gluten network.

Some problems related with the use of colored natural ingredients can be enumerated: the increase in the solids loss in the cooking water, changing its color, as happened in the obtained pastas with the addition of elderberry juice concentrate (Sun-
Waterhouse, Jin, & Waterhouse, 2013) and spiruline (Rodríguez De Marco, Steffolani, Martínez, & León, 2014); and promote the dilution of gluten network, which weakens the pasta and causes a reduction in its firmness.

The present work evaluated the use of natural-colored concentrates in pastas obtained with whole wheat flour, for modifying the color of pastas, while keeping their technological properties and not interfering in the health benefits of whole wheat pastas.

2. Methodology

2.1 Materials

Whole grain wheat flour (WGF) and refined wheat flour (RWF) (Triticum aestivum) were bought from Moinho Anaconda (São Paulo, Brazil), while yellow (YNC) and pink (PNC) natural concentrates were kindly donated by GNT Brasil (São Paulo, Brazil). These concentrates are extracted by physical means and with no use of chemical additives, where YNC contains safflower, and PNC is a mixture of cherry, purple sweet potato, apple, and radish extracts. Chemical reagents for measurement of antioxidant capacity were 2,2-azinobis (3-ethyl-benzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS), gallic acid, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl radical (DPPH), and 6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchromane-2-carboxylic acid (Trolox solution), purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, USA. Folin-Ciocalteau phenol reagent was obtained from Dinâmica (Diadema, Brazil), and methanol and ethanol were purchased from Synth (Diadema, Brazil).

2.2 Chemical and technological analysis of raw materials

Proximate analysis of WGF and RWF were moisture, protein (factor 5.7), ether extract, dietary fiber), and ash content, methods 46-13.01, 30-25.01, 32-07.01, 08-01.01, and 44-15.02, respectively, of the AACCI (2010). Digestible carbohydrates were calculated by difference \(= 100 - \text{(moisture + protein + ether extract + ashes + dietary fiber)}\). WGF and RWF were also analyzed for their rheological parameters by farinograph and extensigraph analysis, methods 54-21.01 and 54-10.01, respectively, of the AACCI (2010). A colorimeter Miniscan XE 3500 (Hunterlab, Reston, VI, USA) (daylight illuminant D65 and 10°) was used for measuring raw materials color (L*, lightness; a*, redness; and b*, yellowness).

2.3 Fresh colored whole pastas processing and evaluation

2.3.1 Control fresh pasta: natural color

Control formulation (CP) fresh pasta was elaborated with WGF and RWF in the proportion of 70:30 w:w, this proportion being determined by previous tests. Flours were mixed with water (44 g/100 g flour mixture) for 15 min in a Pastaia II (capacity: 2 kg) (Italvisa, Tatuf, Brazil), and left to rest for 5 min, before extrusion of spaghetti strands (diameter: 1.8 mm). Fresh pasta was hung in a drying rack for 30 min in a ventilated and cooled room, packed in low density polyethylene (LDPE) bags, white pigmented with 1.5% titanium dioxide (Plastunion Indústria de Plásticos Ltda., Caieiras, Brazil), closed using a packing machine (200B, Selovac, São Paulo, Brazil), and refrigerated (4°C) for 24 hours before technological analysis.

2.3.2 Yellow and pink fresh whole wheat pastas

Yellow pasta (YP) and pink pasta (PP) of whole wheat were formulated with addition of 1, 1.5 and 2% from colored concentrates, following a linear experimental design with axial points (-1, +1), and three replicates at the central point (0, 0) (Table 1). Yellow pasta (YP) had independent variables WGF:RWF (X1) (which corresponds to the proportion between whole grain and refined wheat flour) and YNC (X2). Pink pasta (PP) had variables WGF:RWF (Y1) and PNC (Y2). Dependent variables were technological properties: raw and cooked color, cooked texture (cutting force), cooking loss, and weight gain.
2.4 Measurement of pasta chemical and technological properties

Proximate composition of produced pasta followed the methods indicated for raw materials analysis. Technological properties included cooking test (optimal cooking time (OCT), weight gain, and solids loss) (method 66-50.01, AACC International, 2010), as well as color of raw and cooked pasta (measured as indicated previously). Color difference, \( \Delta E_{ab} \), was calculated as \( \Delta E_{ab} = (\Delta L^2 + \Delta a^2 + \Delta b^2)^{1/2} \), where each delta corresponds to the difference in the color parameter between two samples. Texture of cooked pasta was measured with a TA.XT2 Texture Analyzer (Stable Micro Systems, Surrey, England), with a Light Knife Blade (A/LKB) probe (method 66-50.01, AACC International, 2010). Response Surface Methodology (RSM) for YP and PP was used for determination of regression coefficients, with minimal determination coefficient (R2) of 0.80 (Neto et al., 2010), followed by analysis of variance (ANOVA, \( p \leq 0.05 \)), with the objective of observing the ingredients effects on pastas quality.

2.5 Selection of colored whole wheat pastas

For determining the pastas with similar characteristics of weight gain, solids loss and pasta cutting force to CP, a Principal Components Analysis (PCA) was done. Data from PCA was plotted in a biplot, where formulations located near CP were selected formulations for evaluation of total phenolics content (TPC) and antioxidant capacity.

2.6 Total phenolic content (TPC) and antioxidant capacity

Bioactive compounds in pasta were extracted by lyophilizing raw and cooked pasta (Liotop LP820, Liobras, São Carlos, Brazil), grinding and dissolving in methanol in 0.5 mg/mL concentration, then centrifuging at 4900 rpm during 5 min (Baby I 206-BL, Fanem, São Paulo, Brazil). The Folin-Ciocalteau method (Roesler et al., 2007) was followed for determination of total phenolics content (TPC). Briefly, a 200 \( \mu L \) aliquot of pasta extract was mixed with 1000 \( \mu L \) of 10-fold diluted Folin-Ciocaltell reagent and 800 \( \mu L \) of 7.5% sodium carbonate solution. After 5 min reaction at 50\(^{\circ}\)C, absorbance at 760 nm was read in a spectrophotometer (DU-640™, Beckman-Coulter-Brea, CA, USA). Gallic acid (0.3125 to 50 \( \mu g/mL \)) was used as standard, and the results are expressed as micrograms of gallic acid equivalents (\( \mu g \) GAE/g) in dry basis.

2.7 DPPH assay of whole wheat pasta

DPPH free radical scavenging activity analysis, adapted by Brand-Williams, Cuvelier, & Berset (1995), was done using a standard curve with Trolox (25 to 200 \( \mu M \)). An aliquot of 100 \( \mu L \) pasta extract (prepared as previously described) and 100 \( \mu L \) of methanol were mixed with 1000 \( \mu L \) of DPPH solution (0.004% w/v), and left for 30 min reaction in a dark place. The absorbance of the remaining DPPH was measured at 517 nm against a blank. Results are indicated as milligrams of Trolox Equivalent (TE)/g in dry basis.

2.8 ABTS scavenging capacity of whole wheat pasta

The radical cation ABTS scavenging capacity of whole wheat pastas was measured using the method described by Re et al. (1999). Briefly, an aliquot of 25 \( \mu L \) pasta extract (prepared as in 2.5) and 175 \( \mu L \) of ethanol were mixed with 1000 \( \mu L \) of diluted ABTS solution (prepared by reacting ABTS stock solution (7 mM) with potassium persulfate (2.45 mM)). Absorbance was read after 6 min at 734 nm against a blank. Trolox was used as standard curve (3.125 to 125 \( \mu M \)) in ethanol. Results are expressed in mg Trolox Equivalent (TE)/g in dry basis.

2.9 Statistical analysis

TPC and antioxidant capacity of selected pastas were evaluated by ANOVA and Tukey’s multiple comparison test (p-
value ≤ 0.05). All statistical analyses were done using the software Statistica 7.0 (StatSoft, Tulsa, USA), excepting PCA, done on SAS software version 9.02 (SAS Institute, North Carolina, USA). All the analyses were performed in triplicate, except for texture cutting force of pastas that was done in quintuplicate.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Chemical and technological analysis of raw materials

Proximate analysis of raw materials indicated that WGF and RWF presented 9.97 and 10.90 g of moisture/100 g of sample, respectively. When analyzed in 100 g of dry basis, WGF and RWF presented 13.32 ± 0.52 and 12.68 ± 0.84 g of protein, 1.96 ± 0.16 and 1.42 ± 0.17 g of fat, 1.61 ± 0.02 and 0.68 ± 0.02 g of ash, 10.38 ± 1.31 and 2.89 ± 0.17 g of fiber, and 72.73 and 82.33 g of carbohydrates, respectively. Farinographic analysis indicated a higher water absorption by WGF than RWF (65.3 and 58.2%, respectively), while the stability of RWF was superior to WGF (23.1 ± 0.8 and 15.5 ± 0.9 minutes, respectively). Extensigraph analysis at 45 min showed a lower resistance to extension for WGF (434 ± 28 BU) than for RWF (525 ± 35 BU), as well as a lower extensibility for WGF (105 ± 7.5 cm) than RWF (125 ± 7 cm). These results indicate that RWF was a strong flour, given its high stability to mixture, while it presented a medium extensibility and resistance to extension, being appropriate for pasta production.

3.2 Measurement of pasta technological properties

Instrumental color of raw CP was: L* = 46.25 ± 0.17, a* = 11.37 ± 0.36 and b* = 23.43 ± 0.73; after cooking, CP presented dark yellow color (L* = 49.79 ± 0.48, a* = 7.20 ± 0.10, b* = 14.57 ± 0.12). An optimal cooking time (OCT) of 270 s was determined, and used for the cooking test, where the cooked pasta showed weight gain of 92.78 ± 5.78 g/100 g, solids loss of 3.95 ± 0.16 g/100 g, and cutting force of 1.52 ± 0.11 N.

Table 1 shows the technological properties for YP and PP, which were used for the Response Surface Methodology (RSM) analysis. Table 2 and Figure 1 show the obtained the mathematical models and response surfaces for YP. The increase in the concentration of YNC (x2) increased the redness (a*) of raw YP, but it did not affect any other of the measured technological properties. After pasta cooking, the independent variables had no significant effects on technological properties of YP.
Table 1. Technological properties of yellow (YP) and pink (PP) fresh whole wheat pastas.\(^1\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assays</th>
<th>WGF:RWF (X(_1))</th>
<th>YNC (X(_2))</th>
<th>Raw pasta</th>
<th>Cooked pasta</th>
<th>Cutting force (N)</th>
<th>OCT (s)</th>
<th>Weight grain (g/100g)</th>
<th>Solid Loss (g/100g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YP1</td>
<td>-1 -1</td>
<td>60:40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>48.46</td>
<td>10.19</td>
<td>36.01</td>
<td>47.91</td>
<td>20.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YP2</td>
<td>1 -1</td>
<td>70:30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>51.03</td>
<td>10.01</td>
<td>36.04</td>
<td>46.76</td>
<td>20.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YP3</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>60:40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>48.52</td>
<td>11.30</td>
<td>40.28</td>
<td>47.63</td>
<td>25.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YP4</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>70:30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>44.64</td>
<td>11.51</td>
<td>37.93</td>
<td>44.22</td>
<td>21.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YP5</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>65:35</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>47.89</td>
<td>11.06</td>
<td>38.50</td>
<td>47.46</td>
<td>22.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YP6</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>65:35</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>46.52</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>37.69</td>
<td>48.67</td>
<td>23.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YP7</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>65:35</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>44.51</td>
<td>11.33</td>
<td>36.61</td>
<td>47.85</td>
<td>22.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assays</th>
<th>WGF:RWF (Y(_1))</th>
<th>PNC (Y(_2))</th>
<th>Raw pasta</th>
<th>Cooked pasta</th>
<th>Cutting force (N)</th>
<th>OCT (s)</th>
<th>Weight grain (g/100g)</th>
<th>Solid Loss (g/100g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP8</td>
<td>-1 -1</td>
<td>60:40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39.49</td>
<td>13.94</td>
<td>9.59</td>
<td>44.33</td>
<td>8.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP9</td>
<td>1 -1</td>
<td>70:30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38.31</td>
<td>14.15</td>
<td>10.46</td>
<td>42.81</td>
<td>8.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP10</td>
<td>-1 1</td>
<td>60:40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34.49</td>
<td>16.77</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>38.17</td>
<td>8.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP11</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>70:30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32.72</td>
<td>16.65</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>38.25</td>
<td>7.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP12</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>65:35</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>34.43</td>
<td>15.15</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>40.84</td>
<td>7.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP13</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>65:35</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>36.65</td>
<td>15.71</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>40.71</td>
<td>6.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP14</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>65:35</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>34.31</td>
<td>15.72</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>40.27</td>
<td>7.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)WGF: whole grain wheat flour; RWF: refined wheat flour; YNC: yellow natural concentrate; PNC: pink natural concentrate; YP: yellow pasta; PP: pink pasta; OCT: optimal cooking time.

Source: Authors.

Figure 1. Response Surface from experimental design for color parameter a* of raw yellow fresh whole pasta (YP). x1: (-1, 0, 1) correspond to (60:40, 65:35, 70:30 WGF:RWF w:w), where WGF: whole grain wheat flour; RWF: refined wheat flour. x2: (-1, 0, 1) correspond to (1, 1.5, 2 g YNC/100 g flour mixture), where YNC: yellow natural concentrate.

Source: Authors.

Table 2 and Figure 2 show that for PP, an increase in PNC (y2) increased the redness (a*) of raw pasta (Figure. 2b), and
decreased the lightness (L*) and yellowness (b*) of both raw and cooked pasta (Figure 2a, 2c, 2d, 2f). Figure 2e shows that the redness (a*) of cooked PP increased with PNC increase, and simultaneously, it decreased due to the interaction between WGF:RWF and PNC (y1y2); the latter effect could be related to the brownish color of WGF. The technological properties of cutting force, weight gain, or cooking losses were not influenced by the variation in flours and colored concentrates, neither in the raw or cooked form and have values close to the control paste, showing that only the color was changed and there was no damage to the formation of the gluten network. The variation in the proportion between refined and whole wheat flour did not significantly affect the pastas characteristics, which opens up the possibility of producing pastas with varied nutrient contents, principally fibers. Both results obtained for YP and PP are quite positive, indicating that it could be possible to obtain colored pastas, with variations of YNC and PNC concentrations, with no effects on their technological properties.

Table 2. Mathematical models and averages obtained from experimental design for yellow (YP) and pink (PP) fresh whole. Pastas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technological characteristics</th>
<th>Mathematical model</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Fcal/Ftab</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Raw Color</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L*</td>
<td>47.37</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a*</td>
<td>a*raw=10.93+0.65x₂</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b*</td>
<td>37.58</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YPb Color</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L*</td>
<td>47.21</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a*</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b*</td>
<td>22.45</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cooked Color</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L*</td>
<td>L*(raw)=35.77-2.65y₂</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a*</td>
<td>a*(raw)=15.44+1.33y₂</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>19.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b*</td>
<td>b*(raw)=7.09-2.77y₂</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>25.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Raw</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L*</td>
<td>L*(cooked)=40.77-2.68y₂</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>14.79</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a*</td>
<td>a*(cooked)=7.18+0.62*y₂-3.09y₁y₂</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b*</td>
<td>b*(cooked)=6.53-1.59y₂</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PPc Color</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L*</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a*</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When model was not significant, an average value is presented. bYP: yellow pasta, bPP: pink pasta x₁: (-1, 0, 1) correspond to (60:40, 65:35, 70:30 WGF:RWF w:w), where WGF: whole grain wheat flour; RWF: refined wheat flour x₂: (-1, 0, 1) correspond to (1, 1.5, 2 g YNC/100 g flour mixture), where YNC: yellow natural concentrate y₁: (-1, 0, 1) correspond to (60:40, 65:35, 70:30 WGF:RWF w:w) y₂: (-1, 0, 1) correspond to (1, 1.5, 2 g PNC/100 g flour mixture), where PNC: pink natural concentrate

Source: Authors.

### 3.3 Selection of colored whole wheat pastas

PCA was done to select pastas with similar properties to CP. Figure 3 shows the result from PCA done for all 15 formulations. While principal component 1 (PC1) explains 58.53% of the correlation between the analyzed properties, PC2 presented 33.02%, which in sum explain 91.55% of the correlation between properties. Encircled pastas CP, YP1, and PP9, located near to the control, presented more similar technological characteristics (texture, weight gain and solids loss), which
means they would behave homogeneously when cooked together. Even though YP6 was also located near to CP, it was not chosen because it was a central point from the experimental design (0, 0), but its replicates did not present good repeatability (YP5 and YP7).

3.4 Proximate composition and antioxidant capacity of CP, YP1 and PP9

The three selected pastas (CP, YP1, and PP9) presented in average 30.13 g of moisture/100 g. When compared between them, in dry basis, the three pastas presented no significant differences, with 13.74 g of protein, 0.85 g of fat, and 7.09 g of fiber in 100 g sample. Due to the fact that YP1 had a lower proportion of WGF than CP and PP9, it presented, in dry basis, a significantly lower ash content (p≤0.05) (1.81 ± 0.07 g/100 g), in comparison to CP (1.94 ± 0.03 g/100 g), and PP9 (1.89 ± 0.02 g/100 g). This occurred because the highest percentages of minerals are found in the outer parts of the grain, that is, in the bran present at the WGF (Doblado-Maldonado et al., 2012).

Figure 2. Response surfaces from experimental design for pink fresh whole pasta (PP). a) Color L* for raw PP; b) Color a* for raw PP; c) Color b* for raw PP; d) Color L* for cooked PP; e) Color a* for cooked PP; f) Color b* for cooked PP y1: (-1, 0, 1) correspond to (60:40, 65:35, 70:30 WGF:RWF w:w), where WGF: whole grain wheat flour; RWF: refined wheat flour y2: (-1, 0, 1) correspond to (1, 1.5, 2 g PNC/100 g flour mixture), where PNC: pink natural concentrate.

Source: Authors.

Sobota, Rzedzicki, Zarzycki, and Kuzawinska (2015) also obtained significantly higher ash content in pasta production with 40% of common wheat bran and 60% of durum wheat (1.39 ± 0.02 g/100 g), in comparison to pasta with 20% of common wheat bran and 80% of durum wheat (1.11 ± 0.01 g/100 g). It was expected that the three pastas contain higher fiber content than refined wheat pasta, as the values reported by the USDA Agricultural Research Service (2015), where cooked refined wheat
spaghetti contains 1.8 g of fiber/100 g, while cooked whole wheat spaghetti has 3.9 g of fiber/100 g. The three selected pastas presented brownish (CP), yellowish (YP1) and pink color (PP9). Color measurements indicated big differences to control: ΔEab of raw YP1 and PP9 with respect to CP were 12.82 and 15.47, respectively, while ΔEab of cooked YP1 and PP9 with respect to CP decreased to 6.40 and 9.03, still presenting clear differences to the human eye, which perceives differences when values of ΔE * ab> 2.3 (Sharma, 2003). We further analyzed antioxidant activity of the pastas, for evaluating the effect of the natural-colored concentrates on this property of whole wheat pasta.

Figure 3. Principal components analysis of the pastas (Where ● = CP; ♦=YP1 to 7; □ =PP8 to 14). Formulations encircled correspond to: CP: Control pasta 70:30 WGF:RWF w:w; YP1: yellow pasta (60:40 WGF:RWF w:w, 1 g YNC/100 g flour mixture); PP9: pink pasta (70:30 WGF:RWF w:w, 1 g PNC/100 g flour mixture).

Source: Authors.

Figure 4 shows the pastas antioxidant capacities, observing that CP presented similar values to the other pastas. This is due to the use of WGF, which, according to Adom, Sorrells, and Liu (2005), presents naturally a higher TPC than RWF (662.86 vs. 185.50 μmol of gallic acid equiv./100 g), as well as hydrophilic antioxidant activity (2.48 vs 0.58 μmol of vitamin C equiv/g) and lipophilic antioxidant activity (594.24 vs. 55.00 nmol of vitamin E equiv/g).

Figure 4, letter A, shows that the total phenolics content (TPC) of PP9 was significantly higher (p≤0.05) than CP and YP1, both in raw and cooked pasta (raw PP9: 121.28 μg GAE/g db, cooked PP9: 104.03 μg GAE/g db). The increase of TPC due to the use of colored ingredients in pastas was also confirmed by Khan et al. (2013), who determined a TPC value of 1.88 ± 0.11 mg GAE/g db, in uncooked durum wheat pasta containing 20% of red sorghum flour, in comparison to 0.77 ± 0.07 mg GAE/g of TPC in uncooked durum wheat pasta. The cooking process caused no significant variation (p≤0.05) of TPC content for CP and YP1, while it was significant for PP9 decreasing 14.22%. As seen, the addition of PNC caused a significantly higher TPC in PP9 even with a concentration as low as 1%. This could be caused by the natural components present in it (apple, purple sweet potato, radish and cherry), all known for their antioxidant properties. Figure 4, letter B, indicates that DPPH scavenging capacity of the three pastas presented no significant difference between them, neither in the raw nor cooked form; furthermore, there was no significant decrease (p≤0.05) in this capacity after cooking for all pastas. Figure 4, letter C, shows that ABTS scavenging capacity presented a similar trend to the DPPH test, being not significantly different for the three pastas, both in the raw and cooked form (p≤0.05); the cooking process caused a significant reduction in this capacity for CP and PP9 (49.85 and 32.35%, respectively), being not significant for YP1. We observed that the addition of YNC may increase the antioxidant capacity of whole wheat pasta, to the same levels than 70% of WGF may do, given that YP1 contains only 60% of WGF, while CP and PP9 had 70% WGF in their formulation. Furthermore, YNC may have protected antioxidant compounds present in whole
wheat pasta, as the cooking process did not significantly affect the results of YP1, obtaining in the three cases high antioxidant capacity retention.

**Figure 4.** Antioxidant analysis of fresh raw and cooked whole pastas (dry basis): A) Total phenolics content (TPC), B) DPPH and C) ABTS antioxidant capacities. Formulations correspond to: CP: control pasta (70:30 WGF:RWF w:w); YP1: yellow pasta (60:40 WGF:RWF w:w, 1 g YNC/100 g flour mixture); PP9: pink pasta (70:30 WGF:RWF w:w, 1 g PNC/100 g flour mixture). Columns with different letters (upper (raw pasta) or lower case (cooked pasta)) in the same graph differ significantly (p-value ≤0.05). N.S. not significant /*indicates significant difference between raw and cooked values (p≤0.05).

Source: Authors.

**4. Conclusion**

This work used reduced concentrations of natural-colored concentrates, which promoted the color change, contributed to the bioactive compounds, and without the need for the addition of artificial colorants to adjust the product's color. The use of natural-colored concentrates in concentrations below 2% was viable for producing whole wheat pasta, containing different colors and functional bioactive, and maintaining the whole wheat pasta's technological and antioxidant properties. This study showed that it was possible to diversify whole wheat pastas, maintaining the appeal of this product’s clean label and healthiness.
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