Evaluation of probability distributions in the analysis of minimum temperature

series in Manaus - AM

Avaliação de distribuições de probabilidade na análise de séries de temperatura mínima em

Manaus – AM

Evaluación de distribuciones de probabilidad en el análisis de series de temperaturas mínimas en Manaus - AM

Recebido: 06/03/2021 | Revisado: 12/03/2021 | Aceito: 14/03/2021 | Publicado: 22/03/2021

Yana Miranda Borges ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1866-5524 Federal Institute of Education, Science and Technology of Amazonas, Brazil E-mail: borges.yana@gmail.com Breno Gabriel da Silva ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8322-9235 University of São Paulo, Brazil E-mail: brenogsilva@usp.br Brian Alvarez Ribeiro de Melo ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8217-114X State University of Maringá, Brazil E-mail: brian.rmelo@gmail.com Robério Rebouças da Silva ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6458-6448 Getúlio Vargas University Hospital, Brazil E-mail: roberiors@gmail.com

Abstract

The relevance in studying climatological phenomena is based on the influence that variables of this nature exert on the world. Among the most observed variables, temperature stands out, whose effect of its variation may cause significant impacts, such as the proliferation of biological species, agricultural production, population health, etc. Probability distributions have been studied to verify the best fit to describe and/or predict the behavior of climate variables and, in this context, the present study evaluated, among six probability distributions, the best fit to describe a historical temperature series. minimum monthly mean. The series used in this study encompass a period of 38 years (1980 to 2018) separated by month from the weather station of the Manaus - AM station (OMM: 82331) obtained from INMET, totaling 459 observations. Difference-Sign and Turning Point tests were used to verify data independence and the maximum likelihood method to estimate the parameters. Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Anderson-Darling, Cramér-von Mises, Akaike Information Criterion and quantile-quantile plots were used to select the best fit distribution. Log-Normal, Gama, Weibull, Gumbel type II, Benini and Rice distributions were evaluated, with the best performing Rice, Log-Normal and Gumbel II distributions being highlighted.

Keywords: Distribution adjustment; Temperature data; Rice distribution; Log-Normal distribution; Gumbel II distribution.

Resumo

A relevância em estudar fenômenos climatológicos baseia-se na influência que variáveis dessa natureza exercem no mundo. Entre as variáveis mais observadas, destaca-se a temperatura, cujo efeito de sua variação pode vir a causar impactos significativos, como na proliferação de espécies biológicas, produção agrícola, saúde da população, etc. Distribuições de probabilidade vêm sendo estudadas para verificar o melhor ajuste para descrever e/ou prever o comportamento de variáveis climáticas e, sob esse contexto, o presente estudo avaliou, dentre seis distribuições de probabilidade, a de melhor ajuste para descrever uma série histórica de temperatura mínima média mensal. As séries utilizadas neste estudo englobam um período de 38 anos (1980 a 2018) separados por mês, da estação meteorológica da estação Manaus - AM (OMM: 82331) obtidas no INMET, totalizando 459 observações. Foram utilizados os testes Difference-Sign e Turning Point para verificar independência dos dados e o método da máxima verossimilhança para estimar os parâmetros. Para selecionar a distribuição de melhor ajuste foram utilizados os testes de Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Anderson-Darling, Cramér-von Mises, Critério de Informação de Akaike e gráficos quantil-quantil. Foram avaliadas as distribuições Log-Normal, Gama, Weibull, Gumbel tipo II, Benini e Rice, destacando-se as distribuições Rice, Log-Normal e Gumbel II como as de melhor desempenho.

Palavras-chave: Ajuste de distribuições; Dados de temperatura; Distribuição rice; Distribuição Log-Normal; Distribuição Gumbel II.

Resumen

La relevancia del estudio de los fenómenos climatológicos se basa en la influencia que tienen en el mundo variables de esta naturaleza. Entre las variables más observadas destaca la temperatura, cuyo efecto de su variación puede ocasionar impactos significativos, como en la proliferación de especies biológicas, producción agrícola, salud de la población, etc. Se han estudiado las distribuciones de probabilidad para verificar el mejor ajuste para describir y/o predecir el comportamiento de las variables climáticas y, en este contexto, el presente estudio evaluó, entre seis distribuciones de probabilidad, el mejor ajuste para describir un promedio mensual mínimo de una serie histórica de temperaturas. La serie utilizada en este estudio cubre un período de 38 años (1980 a 2018) separados por meses, de la estación meteorológica de la estación Manaus - AM (OMM: 82331) obtenida del INMET, totalizando 459 observaciones. Se utilizaron pruebas de signo de diferencia y punto de inflexión para verificar la independencia de los datos y el método de máxima verosimilitud para estimar los parámetros. Para seleccionar la distribución de mejor ajuste, se utilizaron los gráficos de Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Anderson-Darling, Cramér-von Mises, Akaike Information Criterion y cuantiles. Se evaluaron las distribuciones Log-Normal, Gama, Weibull, Gumbel tipo II, Benini y Rice, destacándose las distribuciones Rice, Log-Normal y Gumbel II como las de mejor desempeño.

Palabras clave: Ajuste de distribuciones; Datos de temperatura; Distribución rice; Distribución Log-Normal; Distribución de Gumbel II.

1. Introduction

The relevance of studying climatological phenomena is based on the influence that variables of this nature have in different areas of knowledge or even in everyday life. Among the most observed variables, the temperature stands out, whose effect of its variation can cause significant impacts, such as in the proliferation of animal and vegetable species, agricultural production, population health, etc. From this perspective, analyzes of historical series of climatic variables have been carried out in order to describe and/or predict the behavior of these variables, as studies by (Astolpho (2003); Berlato & Althaus (2010); Araújo et al. (2010); Assis et al. (2013); Gomes et al. (2015); Silva et al. (2013); Assis et al. (2018); Ximenes et al. (2020); de Mendoza Borges et al. (2020); Aguirre et al. (2020) and Santiago et al. (2020)) whose objective was to verify the best fit to describe climatological measures in cities in Brazil.

According to Fisch (1998), the region that presents the greatest vulnerability to climatic changes in Brazil is the Amazon and the Northeast, where they constitute what could be called climatic change hot spots, being associated with a high probability of higher average temperature increase (around five degrees centigrade, until the end of the century) than predicted for the rest of the Brazilian territory. According to Gomes (2015), the reasons for Manaus being more vulnerable to climate change in Brazil are due to global climate variations from natural causes, as well as changes in land use, for example, within the Amazon region itself., that is, for anthropic cause.

According to Fisch (1998), the city of Manaus is located in the heart of the Amazon, classified as one of the most humid regions in the whole country. The city's climate is humid tropical, contained by high temperatures, high humidity and torrential rain. The author mentioned above also mentions that researchers have been elaborating models, through the processing of supercomputers of series of information of all kinds, linked to climatic situations, to try to predict future trends of climate change, in different scenarios.

Alexander et al. (2006) carried out a research, considering more than 1,400 meteorological stations and verified the occurrence in the increase of the minimum temperatures in 70.0% of the analyzed continental regions, including South America. It highlights Guarienti et al. (2004) that one of the justifications of studying the behavior of minimum temperature is the fact that the production of wheat in the country is strongly linked to this climatic variable.

Araújo et al. (2010) point out that verifying the probability distribution of variables associated with meteorological phenomena has the potential to assist in the execution of planning associated with agricultural activities, forecasting the

climatic behavior of a given region of the country, among others. Catalunya et al. (2002) highlights that the temperature of a region can be estimated in probabilistic terms, through the use of probability distributions adjusted to historical data series.

Also according to Catalunha et al. (2002), the probability density functions are associated with the behavior of the data, in which these functions are characterized by having the ability to adjust for small or large databases, in addition to having specificities regarding the number of parameters, behavior such as: asymmetry, shape of bathtub and among others. Still in the same context, the present study aims to evaluate, among six probability distributions, which offers the best fit to the historical series of minimum monthly average temperature in the city of Manaus, in Amazonas.

2. Material and Methods

The city of Manaus, in Amazonas, located in the Northern Region of Brazil, latitude 03°06'07'' and longitude 60°01'30'' with a tropical climate, has an area of 11.401.092 km², with an estimated population of 2.145.444 inhabitants and density of 188.18 hab./km² (IBGE, 2018), <www.ibge.gov.br>. The climate of Manaus is considered to be a tropical humid monsoon (type Am according to the Koppen-Geiger climate classification), with an annual average compensated temperature of 27°C and relatively high air humidity, with a rainfall index of around 2.300 millimeters per year. The seasons are relatively well defined when it comes to rain: winter is relatively dry, and summer is rainy. Due to the proximity of the Equator, the heat is constant from the local climate. There are no cold days in winter, and very intense polar air masses in the south-central part of the country and the southwest of the Amazon rarely have any effect on the city. According to data from the National Institute of Meteorology (INMET), <https://portal.inmet.gov.br/>, since 1961 the lowest temperature recorded in Manaus was 12.1°C on July 9, 1989, and the highest reached 39°C on September 21, 2015.

This quantitative research is characterized by the use of quantification, both in the collection as in the treatment of information, using statistical techniques (Richardson (1999); Pereira et al. (2018)). The monthly series of average minimum temperature used in this study covers a period of 38 years (1980 to 2018) separated by month, from the weather station of the Manaus - AM station (OMM: 82331), compiled from the historical series of average minimum temperature obtained in INMET. To verify data independence, Difference-Sign and Turning Point Test were applied. Parameter estimates were obtained using the maximum likelihood method. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS), Anderson-Darling (AD) and Cramér-von Mises (CVM) tests were used as a criterion to verify the model that best fit the data, as well as the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). Quantile-quantile plots were also used as a criterion of adequacy and distribution selection with the best fit. The distributions evaluated are all implemented in software R version 4.0.2 (R Core Team, 2020), namely: Log-Normal, Gama, Weibull, Gumbel type II, Benini and Rice. The R libraries used were: iki.dataclim (Orlowsky, 2014), for homogeneity tests; randtests (Mateus & Caeiro, 2014), for randomness tests; fitdistrplus (Dellignette-Muller & Dutang, 2015), for adjustments; stats (R Core Team, 2020), and goftest (Faraway et al., 2017) for fit quality; car (Fox & Weisberg, 2019) for quantile-quantile charts.

2.1 Tests of independence

Many statistical procedures require a random sample (Brockwell & Davis, 2016), such as those performed in this work. Such a condition is not always valid and can be tested using a statistical hypothesis test. Therefore, we must test the hypothesis that X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n is a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables (i.i.d.) or not.

2.2 Difference-Sign Test

This test counts number of points X_i , i = 1, ..., n Where the sequence X_i , i = 1, ..., n increase, i.e. where $X_i > X_{i-1}$ or equivalently the number of times the differenced sequence, $X_i - X_{i-1}$ is positive. Thus, we can define the statistic **S** as,

$$S = \sum_{i=2}^{n} Y_{i}, \qquad Y_{i} = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } X_{i} > X_{i-1}, \\ 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For a sequence of i.i.d. random variables, we know that $\mu_S = (n-1)/2$ and $\sigma_S^2 = (n+1)/12$. A large positive (or negative) value of $S - \mu_S$ indicates the presence of an increasing (or decreasing) trend in the data.

2.3 Turning Point Test

The main idea of this test is the sequence $\{X_i\}$, i = 1, ..., n is random, three successive values, $(X_i - 1, X_i, X_i + 1)$ are equally likely to occur in any of the six possible orders with equal probability. In Only four of these would there be a turning point, namely When the greatest or the least of the three points is in the Middle, i.e., $X_i, 2 < i < n - 1$ is a turning point if $X_{i-1} < X_i$ and $X_i > X_{i+1}$ or if $X_{i-1} > X_i$ and $X_i < X_{i+1}$. The probability of having a turning point in any set of these values is then 2/3 (Mateus & Caeiro, 2013). Let the statistic **T** representes the number of turning points of the sequence $\{X_i\}$, i = 1, ..., n,

$$T = \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} Y_{i,} \qquad Y_i = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } X_i \text{ is a turning point,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For a sequence of i.i.d. random variables, the mean value and variance of T are $\mu_T = E(T) = 2 (n - 2)/3$ and $\sigma_T^2 = (16n - 29)/90$, respectively. A large value of $T - \mu_T$ indicates that the sequence is fluctuating more rapidly than expected for i.i.d. variables. On other hand a value $T - \mu_T$ Much smaller than zero indicates a positive correlation between neighbouring observations.

2.4 The distributions

2.4.1 Log-Normal distribution

Let X be a normally distributed random variable, so Y = log(X) has a Normal distribution. Likewise, if Y has a Normal distribution, then the exponential function of Y, $\{X = e^Y\}$, has a Log-Normal distribution with f.d.p

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\log x - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right),$$

with mean and variance $E(X) = \exp\left(\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)$ and $Var(X) = \exp(2\mu + \sigma^2) \left[\exp(\sigma^2) - 1\right]$ (Johnson et al., 1995). Its cumulative distribution function is

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erf}\left[\frac{\log x - \mu}{\sqrt{2\sigma}}\right].$$

2.4.2 Gama distribution

The Gama distribution (Shea, 1988) with parameters shape = \mathbf{a} and scale = \mathbf{s} has density

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{s^{a}\Gamma(a)} x^{a-1} e^{-x/S} \text{ where } X \ge 0 \text{ and } a > 0, s > 0.$$

with mean and variance

$$E(X) = as_{and} Var(X) = as^2$$
,

and cumulative distribution function given by

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \gamma\left(a, \frac{x}{s}\right)$$

2.4.3 Weibull distribution

The distribution Weibull (Weibull et al., 1951), with parameters of shape a and scale b, has density give by

$$f(x) = \frac{a}{b} \left(\frac{x}{b} \right)^{a-1} e^{\left(\frac{x}{b} \right)^a}, x > 0,$$

with mean and variance of a Weibull distribution

$$E(X) = b\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{a}\right) \text{ and } Var(X) = b^2 \left[\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{2}{a}\right) - \left(\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{a}\right)\right)^2\right],$$

cumulative distribution function given by

$$F(x) = 1 - e^{\left(-\frac{x}{b}\right)^{a}}$$
, for $x > 0$, and $F(x) = 0$ for $x < 0$.

2.4.4 Gumbel II distribution

The density distribution Gumbel-II (Gumbel, 1954) for a response Y is

$$f(y) = \frac{sy^{s-1}}{b^s} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^s\right],$$

for b > 0, s > 0, y > 0. The cumulative distribution function is

$$F(y) = \exp\left[-\left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^{-s}\right].$$

The mean and variance of **Y** given by

$$E(Y) = b\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{1}{s}\right)$$
, when $s > 1$ e

$$Var(Y) = b^{2}\Gamma\left(1-\frac{2}{s}\right), \text{ when } s > 2.$$

2.4.5 Benini distribution

The Benini distribution (Benini, 1905) has a probability density function that can be written as

$$f(y) = \frac{2s}{y} \exp\left\{-s\left[\left(\log\left(\frac{y}{y_0}\right)\right)^2\right]\right\} \log\left(\frac{y}{y_0}\right) \text{ for } 0 < y_0 < y, \text{ and shape parameter } s > 0.$$

The cumulative distribution function for Y is

$$F(x) = 1 - \exp\left\{-s\left[\left(\log\left(\frac{y}{y_0}\right)\right)^2\right]\right\}$$

2.4.6 Rice distribution

The Rice distribution (Rice, 1945) has a density function

$$f(y) = \frac{y}{\sigma^2} \exp\left(\frac{-(y^2 + v^2)}{2\sigma^2}\right) I_0\left(\frac{yv}{\sigma^2}\right),$$

where y > 0, v > 0, $\sigma > 0$ and I_0 is the modified Bessel function of the first zero order type. The hope and variance of are given by

$$E(Y) = \sigma \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{\frac{z}{2}} \left((1-z)I_0\left(-\frac{z}{2}\right) - zI_1\left(-\frac{z}{2}\right) \right), \text{ where } z = \frac{-\nu^2}{2\sigma^2} \text{ and}$$
$$Var(Y) = 2\sigma^2 + \nu^2 - \frac{\pi\sigma^2}{2}L_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 \left(\frac{-\nu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right).$$

The cumulative distribution function given by

$$F(Y) = 1 - Q_1\left(\frac{v}{\sigma}, \frac{y}{\sigma}\right),$$

where Q_1 is function Q of Marcum given by

$$Q_{M(a,b)} = \int_{b}^{\infty} y\left(\frac{y}{a}\right)^{M-1} \exp\left(-\frac{y^{2}+a^{2}}{2}\right) I_{M-1}(ay) dy.$$

2.5 The goodness of fit test

The Akaike information criterion (AIC) provides a means for selecting models and, in this case, as a criterion for selecting the model with the best fit for the data studied here. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Anderson-Darling and Cramér-von Mises tests are often used as adherence tests, but they are also resources to measure the quality of the fit of a distribution to the analyzed data, considering that the higher the p-value (greater adherence), better fit the data to the evaluated model. Similarly, quantile-quantile plots are commonly used to compare a data set against a theoretical model. This can provide an assessment of the "good fit" that is graphical, rather than reducing to a numerical summary.

2.5.1 Akaike Information Criterion

The Akaike information criterion was developed by Akaike (1974) from the Kullback-Leibler distance to test whether a given model is adequate. Let k be the number of parameters estimated in the model and \hat{L} the maximum value of the likelihood function for the model. So the AIC value of the model is

$$AIC = 2k - ln(\hat{L})$$

Among the models evaluated, the model that points to the lowest AIC value is considered to be the best fit.

2.5.2 Kolmogorov-Smirnov test

The Kolmogorov – Smirnov test (Durbin, 1973) is a non-parametric test on the equality of continuous and onedimensional probability distributions that can be used to compare a sample with a reference probability distribution (onesample K – S test). The Kolmogorov – Smirnov statistic quantifies the distance between the empirical distribution function of the sample and the cumulative distribution function of the reference distribution. The empirical distribution function \mathbf{F}_{n} for **n** observations \mathbf{X}_{i} independent and identically distributed is defined as

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I_{[-\infty,x]}(X_i),$$

where $I_{[-\infty,x]}(X_i)$ is the indicator function, equal to 1 if $X_i \leq x$ and equal to 0, otherwise. As for the set of distances, we have to $\sup_{\mathbf{x}}$ it is the supreme of the set of distances. By the Glivenko-Cantelli theorem, if the sample comes from the distribution $F_{\mathbf{x}}$, so $D_{\mathbf{n}}$, converge to 0 almost certainly on the edge when \mathbf{n} tends to infinity.

$$D_n = \sup_x |F_n(x) - F(x)|.$$

2.5.3 Anderson-Darling test

The Anderson-Darling test (Anderson & Darling, 1952) assesses whether a sample comes from a specified distribution. It makes use of the fact that, when given a hypothetical underlying distribution and assuming that the data arise from that distribution, the cumulative distribution function of the data can be assumed to follow a uniform distribution. The data can be tested for uniformity with a distance test. The formula for test statistic A to assess whether data $\{Y_1 < \cdots < Y_n\}$ (note that the data must be put in order) comes from an accumulated distribution function **F** is

$$A^2 = -n - S$$
,

where

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{2i-1}{n} \left[\ln(F(Y_i)) + \ln(1 - F(Y_{n+1-i})) \right].$$

The test statistic can then be compared with the critical values of the theoretical distribution. In this case, no parameters are estimated in relation to the cumulative F distribution function.

2.5.4 Cramér-von Mises test

The Cramér-von Mises criterion is a criterion used to judge the fit quality of an accumulated distribution function F^* compared to a given empirical distribution function F_n (Braun (1980); CSöRgő & Faraway (1996)). Let $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ observed values, in ascending order. So the statistic is

$$T = n\omega^{2} = \frac{1}{12n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\frac{(2i-1)}{2n} - F(x_{i}) \right]^{2}.$$

If this value is greater than the tabulated value, then the hypothesis that the data came from the F distribution in question can be rejected.

2.5.5 Quantile-Quantile plot

A probability plot or a quantile-quantile plot (Q-Q) is a graphical presentation designed by Wilk & Gnanadesikan (1968) to compare a set of data to a particular probability distribution or to compare it with another set of data. When comparing observations to a hypothetical distribution, take a random sample $\underline{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, ..., \mathbf{x}_n$ of some unknown distribution with cumulative distribution function $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})$ and be $\mathbf{x}_{(1)}, \mathbf{x}_{(2)}, ..., \mathbf{x}_{(n)}$ the ordered observations. Depending on the particular formula used for the empirical distribution function, the i-th order statistic is an estimate of the $\frac{\mathbf{i}}{(\mathbf{n}+1)} - \mathbf{th}$, $\frac{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{0},\mathbf{5}}{(\mathbf{n})} - \mathbf{th}$,..., quantile. Suppose that the order statistic is an estimate of the $\frac{\mathbf{i}}{(\mathbf{n}+1)} - \mathbf{th}$ quantile, i.e

$$\widehat{F}\big[x_{(i)}\big] = \widehat{p_1} = \frac{i}{n+1},$$

so

$$\mathbf{x}_{(i)} \approx \mathbf{F}^{-1}(\widehat{\mathbf{p}_{1}}).$$

If we knew the shape of the true F distribution function, then the plot of $\mathbf{x}_{(i)}$ versus $\mathbf{F}_{(\mathbf{p}_i)}^{-1}$ would form approximately a straight line based on $\mathbf{x}_{(i)} \approx \mathbf{F}^{-1}(\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_1)$. A probability plot is a plot of $\mathbf{x}_{(i)}$ versus $\mathbf{F}_0^{-1}(\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_1)$, where \mathbf{F}_0 denotes the cumulative distribution function associated with the hypothetical distribution. The probability graph should fall approximately on the line $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}$ if $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_0$. If F and \mathbf{F}_0 differ only by a change in location and scale, if $\mathbf{F}[(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})/\sigma] = \mathbf{F}_0(\mathbf{x})$, then the plot should fall more or less on the line $\mathbf{y} = \sigma \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\mu}$.

The amount $\widehat{\mathbf{p}_{1}} = i/(n+1)$ in $\widehat{\mathbf{F}}[\mathbf{x}_{(i)}] = \widehat{\mathbf{p}_{1}} = \frac{i}{n+1}$ it is called the plot position for the probability plot. This particular formula for the plotting position is attractive because it can be shown that for any continuous distribution $\mathbf{E}\{\widehat{\mathbf{F}}[\mathbf{x}_{(i)}]\} = \frac{i}{n+1}$ (Nelson (1982); Stedinger et al. (1993)). That is, the i-th plot position defined as $\widehat{\mathbf{F}}[\mathbf{x}_{(i)}] = \widehat{\mathbf{p}_{1}} = \frac{i}{n+1}$, is the expected value of the real distribution function evaluated in the order statistic i (Atkinson (1985); Fox (2016)).

3. Results and Discussion

The randomness tests applied to the data under a 95% confidence level showed that only the Difference-Sign test rejected the hypothesis of randomness of the data in the months of February and December (p-value < 0.05). The Turning Point Test did not reject randomness at any time (p-value > 0.05), also at a 95% significance level. The summary measures for the monthly average minimum temperature data are presented in Table 1. The series boxplots can be seen in Figure 1.

Tuble 1. Kundonmess tests.								
Months	Difference-Sign	Turning Point Test						
1-January	0.584	0.897						
2-February	< 0.001	0.237						
3-March	0.405	0.693						
4-April	0.782	0.693						
5-May	0.273	0.517						
6-June	0.100	0.364						
7-July	0.782	1.000						
8-August	0.782	0.430						
9-September	0.405	0.237						
10-October	0.405	1.000						
11-November	0.574	0.790						
12-December	0.013	0.693						

Table 1. Randomness tests

Figure 1. Boxplot for the monthly historical series of minimum temperature from 01/01/1980 to 12/31/2018 of the Manaus meteorological station.



Source: Authors.

When analyzing the boxplots, there is a varied behavior between the series, with months showing symmetry, as in March, and others, asymmetry on the right, as evidenced in September. Already then, there are indications that the probability distribution selected to describe the month of March may not be the most suitable to describe the data of average minimum temperature for the month of September, for example, and this distinction is due to the distinct behavior between the series. Except in the months of June, July and August, all months presented at least one outlier, that is, at least one month of the

Source: Authors.

observed years, except for those already mentioned, indicated a temperature very different from the others recorded. The descriptive measures of the data set can be seen in Table 2.

Table 2. Descriptive measurements of the monthly historical series of minimum temperature from 01/01/1980 to 06/01/2019 of the Manaus meteorological station.

Months	n	Mean	Standard deviation	Median	Minimum	Maximum
1-January	39	23.386	1.097	23.174	20.768	26.723
2-February	38	23.412	0.982	23.387	20.796	25.383
3-March	38	23.494	0.907	23.469	20.613	25.448
4-April	39	23.500	0.872	23.487	20.867	25.303
5-May	39	23.696	0.906	23.574	20.935	25.810
6-June	39	23.452	1.044	23.237	20.983	25.483
7-July	38	23.386	1.001	23.342	21.174	25.203
8-August	38	23.762	1.157	23.634	21.590	26.252
9-September	38	24.098	1.183	23.870	21.887	27.543
10-October	38	24.285	1.111	24.173	22.026	27.219
11-November	37	24.091	1.072	23.873	21.970	26.363
12-December	38	23.797	0.943	23.569	21.723	26.458

Source: Authors.

Assuming that all distributions could adequately describe the monthly minimum temperature data, the parameters for each one were estimated. The graphs of estimated curves of the distributions on monthly histograms can be seen in Figure 2.

Figure 2. Adjusted curves on histogram for the monthly historical series of average minimum temperature in Manaus meteorological station.



From the graphical point of view, it can be seen that the Rice distribution has the worst performance to describe the data for the month of March, with extremely different estimates for the parameters ν (4.16) and σ (16.33), when compared to the estimates to describe the other months (0.9 < σ < 1.2) and (23.4 < ν < 24.3), whose curve cannot be

observed due to different limits for the x and y axes (x ranges from approximately 0 to 60 and y, from 0 to 0.04). The estimated curves generated by the Benini, Rice and Gumbell II distributions for the other months, as expected, approach the histogram only on occasions when the data have positive asymmetry. Except in January, the curves for the Gamma, Log-Normal and Rice distributions appear superimposed, pointing to similar adjustments.

Considering the adherence of the distributions to the data, assessed by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Anderson-Darling and Cramér-von Mises tests, the three tests agree that the Gamma, Log-Normal, Gumbell II, Weibull and Rice distributions fit the data, except in the cases of January and June. The hypothesis that the data come from a Rice distribution is rejected by the three tests at the level of 5% significance (p-value <0.001) only for the month of January.

As for the month of June, only the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test rejected the hypothesis that the data follow Weibull distribution (p-value = 0.098). The data for the months of June to December adhere to the Benini distribution according to the three tests, varying in the other months. For the quality of the fit, it was taken into account that the greater the adherence (greater p-value) the better the adjustment, according to the adherence tests, the results of which are found in Tables 3, 4 and 5.

Months	Gama	Benini	Log-Normal	Gumbel II	Weibull	Rice
1-January	0.654	0.023	0.685	0.315	0.280	< 0.001
2-February	0.883	0.038	0.862	0.317	0.577	0.918
3-March	0.474	< 0.001	0.453	0.073	0.427	0.497
4-April	0.602	0.001	0.588	0.095	0.198	0.626
5-May	0.631	0.001	0.619	0.118	0.346	0.649
6-June	0.329	0.051	0.350	0.517	0.098	0.285
7-July	0.901	0.587	0.919	0.895	0.331	0.869
8-August	0.664	0.797	0.698	0.96	0.242	0.591
9-September	0.495	0.263	0.526	0.474	0.223	0.436
10-October	0.885	0.317	0.900	0.876	0.385	0.853
11-November	0.583	0.286	0.604	0.681	0.162	0.545
12-December	0.621	0.137	0.645	0.738	0.349	0.579

Table 3. P-value of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for the probability distributions evaluated.

Source: Authors

Table 4. P-value of the Anderson-Darling test for the probability distributions evaluated.

Months	Gama	Benini	Log-Normal	Gumbel II	Weibull	Rice
1-January	0.697	0.053	0.701	0.211	0.193	< 0.001
2-February	0.971	0.039	0.966	0.272	0.692	0.977
3-March	0.489	0.004	0.485	0.063	0.244	0.490
4-April	0.488	0.005	0.479	0.060	0.261	0.501
5-May	0.745	0.007	0.746	0.120	0.295	0.737
6-June	0.468	0.101	0.489	0.486	0.163	0.426
7-July	0.958	0.370	0.964	0.844	0.587	0.943
8-August	0.746	0.862	0.776	0.975	0.272	0.682
9-September	0.622	0.377	0.644	0.515	0.174	0.574
10-October	0.875	0.487	0.897	0.880	0.245	0.824
11-November	0.469	0.473	0.494	0.780	0.144	0.421
12-December	0.778	0.214	0.802	0.710	0.174	0.725

Source: Authors

Table 5. F-value of the Cramer-von whises test for the probability distributions evaluated.									
Months	Gama	Benini	Log-Normal	Gumbel II	Weibull	Rice			
1-January	0.664	0.045	0.675	0.261	0.211	< 0.001			
2-February	0.919	0.032	0.912	0.285	0.584	0.928			
3-March	0.462	0.003	0.463	0.086	0.203	0.453			
4-April	0.492	0.004	0.488	0.082	0.218	0.494			
5-May	0.695	0.005	0.701	0.160	0.264	0.675			
6-June	0.390	0.099	0.409	0.504	0.139	0.351			
7-July	0.939	0.371	0.949	0.906	0.515	0.918			
8-August	0.656	0.832	0.687	0.976	0.247	0.589			
9-September	0.482	0.325	0.503	0.509	0.163	0.439			
10-October	0.827	0.440	0.850	0.872	0.271	0.777			
11-November	0.480	0.466	0.505	0.799	0.148	0.432			
12-December	0.685	0.195	0.709	0.700	0.186	0.636			

Table 5. P-value of the Cramér-von Mises test for the probability distributions evaluated.

Source: Authors.

The results of the Akaike criterion can be seen in Table 6.

Mês	Gama	Benini	Log-Normal	Gumbel II	Weibull	Rice
1-January	120.640	130.380	120.570	128.610	130.830	274.030
2-February	109.730	123.950	109.920	120.970	111.520	109.420
3-March	103.820	127.680	104.090	120.370	106.180	103.370
4-April	103.490	124.910	103.780	119.840	104.750	102.980
5-May	106.200	127.960	106.370	120.620	110.900	105.960
6-June	116.780	124.830	116.670	121.420	123.300	117.050
7-July	110.890	116.090	110.900	115.790	114.210	110.930
8-August	121.420	120.610	121.200	121.230	129.170	121.940
9-September	122.920	123.540	122.640	124.240	133.970	123.570
10-October	118.280	120.020	118.030	119.240	128.380	118.850
11-November	112.650	113.340	112.440	112.920	120.380	113.120
12-December	105.850	110.290	105.610	107.740	117.260	106.380

Table 6. AIC values for the probability distributions assessed.

Source: Authors.

In inconclusive results, where there was a tie in relation to the tests, in the cases of May and June, the quantile quantile graph was decisive in favor of the Log-Normal distribution in both cases, against the Rice and Gumbel II distributions, respectively. In this case, it is preferable the distribution whose quantile-quantile graph has greater linearity and a greater number of points within the simulated confidence envelopes, which can be seen in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Quantile-quantile graphs for the quality of the adjustment for the months of May and June.

Table 7 contains the distributions selected as the best fit for the monthly minimum daily temperature data for the Manaus meteorological station according to the adopted criteria.

Table 7. Best-fit distributions for monthly average minimum temperature data.

Months	Jan*	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Dist.	LN	RC	RC	RC	LN	LN	LN	GB	LN	LN	GB	LN

*Note: LN, dist. Log-Normal; RC, dist Rice; GB, dist. Gumbel II. Source: Authors.

Finally, the selected curves, according to the criteria presented for the evaluated distributions, according to the months to which they fit can be seen in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Curves adjusted on histogram for the monthly historical series of minimum temperature of the average meteorological station in Manaus.

Source: Authors.

4. Conclusion

The Rice, Log-Normal and Gumbel type II distributions were the distributions selected as the best fit to describe the series of average minimum temperature of the Manaus station. It is emphasized here, as observed graphically and by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Anderson-Darling and Cramér-von Mises tests, that, in cases where the Log-Normal distribution emerges as the distribution with the most appropriate adjustment, the Gamma and Rice distributions could also be adopted with little difference between them (except in January), thus being recommended in the description of the behavior for mean minimum temperature data as potential competitors to those usually used. It is also important to highlight that, for studies of average minimum temperature data from other stations and/or another time interval, although the data sets are of the same nature, the behavior varies, also varying the distribution that can describe them, then it is up to the comparison of tests and distributions for a more adequate result. Therefore, future research can be carried out using other climatic variables, as well as in other states of Brazil, in order to investigate possible probabilistic models that describe such recurrences associated with climatic variables.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the National Institute of Meteorology (INMET) for providing the data used here and to CNPQ, for the financial support granting a scholarship to the second author.

References

Aguirre, A. F. L., Nogueira, D. A., & Beijo, L. A. (2020). Análise da temperatura máxima de Piracicaba (SP) via distribuição GEV não estacionária: uma abordagem bayesiana. *Revista Brasileira de Climatologia*, 27.

Alexander, L. V., Zhang, X., Peterson, T. C., Caesar, J., Gleason, B., Klein Tank, A. M. G., & Vazquez-Aguirre, J. L. (2006). Global observed changes in daily climate extremes of temperature and precipitation. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 111(D5).

Akaike, H. (1974). Anew look at the statistical model identi cation. IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, 19(6):716 723.

Anderson, T. W., & Darling, D. A. (1952). Asymptotic theory of certain" goodness of fit" criteria based on stochastic processes. *The annals of mathematical statistics*, 193-212.

Araújo, E. M., Silva, I. N., de Oliveira, J. B., Junior, E. G. C. & de Almeida, B. M. (2010). Aplicação de seis distribuições de probabilidade a séries de temperatura máxima em Iguatu-ce. *Revista Ciência Agronômica*, 41(1):36 45.

Assis, J. P., de Sousa, R. P., de Oliveira Batista, B. D., & Linhares, P. C. F. Probabilidade de chuva em Piracicaba, SP, através da distribuição densidade de probabilidade Gama (Probability of Rain in Piracicaba, SP, by Distributing Probability Density Gamma). *Revista Brasileira de Geografia Física*, 11(3), 814-825.

Astolpho, F. (2003). Estimativa e mapeamento de probabilidades de ocorrência de temperaturas mínimas absolutas do ar adversas à agricultura paulista. 2003. 99f (Doctoral dissertațion, Dissertação (Mestrado em Agricultura Tropical e Subtropical)-Instituto Agronômico, IAC, Campinas).

Atkinson, A. C. (1985). Plots, transformations and regression; an introduction to graphical methods of diagnostic regression analysis

Benini, R. (1905). I diagrammi a scala logaritmica (a proposito della graduazione per valore delle successioni ereditarie in italia, francia e inghilterra). *Giornale degli economisti*, 30:222 231.

Berlato, M.A & Althaus, D. (2010). Tendência observada da temperatura mínima e do número de dias de geada do Estado do Rio Grande do Sul. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Gaúcha*, Porto Alegre, 16(1), 7-16.

Braun, H. (1980). A simple method for testing goodness of fit in the presence of nuisance parameters. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B* (*Methodological*), 42(1), 53-63.

Brockwell, P. J., Brockwell, P. J., Davis, R. A., & Davis, R. A. (2016). Introduction to time series and forecasting. springer.

Catalunha, M. J., Sediyama, G. C., Leal, B. G., Soares, C. P. B., & Ribeiro, A. (2002). Aplicação de cinco funções densidade de probabilidade a séries de precipitação pluvial no Estado de Minas Gerais. *Revista Brasileira de Agrometeorologia*, 10(1), 153-162.

Csörgő, S., & Faraway, J. J. (1996). The exact and asymptotic distributions of Cramér-von Mises statistics. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological), 58(1), 221-234.

de Assis, J. P., de Sousa, R. P., da Silva, R. M., & Linhares, P. C. F. (2013). Ajuste de sete modelos de distribuições densidade de probabilidade às séries históricas de umidade relativa mensal em Mossoró-RN. Revista Verde de Agroecologia e Desenvolvimento Sustentável, 8(1), 01-10.

de Mendoza Borges, P. H., & de Mendoza Morais, P. H. (2020). Estimativa de temperaturas favoráveis para o desenvolvimento da avicultura. *Revista Ibero-Americana de Ciências Ambientais*, 11(6), 112-119.

Delignette-Muller, M. L., & Dutang, C. (2015). fitdistrplus: An R package for fitting distributions. Journal of statistical software, 64(4), 1-34.

dos Santos Gomes, A. C., da Silva Costa, M., Coutinho, M. D. L., do Vale, R. S., dos Santos, M. S., da Silva, J. T., & Fitzjarrald, D. R. (2015). Análise estatística das tendências de elevação nas séries de temperatura média máxima na amazônia central: estudo de caso para a região do oeste do pará. *Revista Brasileira de Climatologia*, 17.

Durbin, J. (1973). Distribution theory for tests based on the sample distribution function. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics.

Faraway J, Marsaglia G, Marsaglia J, Baddeley A. (2017). *goftest: Testes clássicos de adequação para distribuições univariadas*. Disponível em: URL https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=goftest.

Fisch, G., Marengo, J. A., & Nobre, C. A. (1998). Uma revisão geral sobre o clima da Amazônia. Acta amazônica, 28(2), 101-101.

Fox, J. (2016). Applied regression analysis and generalized linear models. Sage Publications.

Fox, J., & Weisberg, S. (2019). An R companion to applied regression, Third Edition. Thousand Oaks CA: Sage.

Guarienti, E. M., Ciacco, C. F., Cunha, G. R. D., Del Duca, L. D. J. A., & Camargo, C. M. D. O. (2004). Influência das temperaturas mínima e máxima em características de qualidade industrial e em rendimentos de grãos de trigo. *Food Science and Technology*, 24(4), 505-515.

Gumbel, E. J. (1954). Statistical theory of extreme values and some practical applications. NBSApplied Mathematics Series, 33.

IBGE. Censo Demográfico, 2018. <www.ibge.gov.br>.

Johnson, N. L., Kotz, S., & Balakrishnan, N. (1995). Continuous univariate distributions. John wiley & sons.

Mateus, A. & Caeiro, F. (2013). Comparing several tests of randomness based on the di erenceof observations. In AIP Conference Proceedings, volume 1558, pages 809 812. AIP.

Mateus, A., & Caeiro, F. (2014). randtests: Testing randomness in R. R package version 1.0. URL https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=randtests.

Orlowsky, B. (2014). *iki. dataclim: Consistency, Homogeneity and Summary Statistics of Climatological Data*. R package version, 1. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=iki.dataclim.

Pereira, A. S., Shitsuka, D. M., Parreira, F. J., & Shitsuka, R. (2018). *Metodologia da pesquisa científica*. UFSM. https://repositorio.ufsm. br/bitstream/handle/1/15824/Lic_Computacao_Metodologia-Pesquisa-Científica. pdf.

R Core Team. (2020). R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

Rice, S. O. (1945). Mathematical analysis of random noise. Bell System Technical Journal, 24(1):46 156.

Richardson, R. J. (1999). Pesquisa social: métodos e técnicas. Atlas.

Santiago, E. J. P., da Silva Freire, A. K., Cunha, A. L. X., Cantalice, J. R. B., do Carmo Mouco, M. A., Cunha Filho, M., ... & de Oliveira, G. M. (2020). Caracterização de altas temperaturas e identificação de épocas suscetíveis à estenospermocarpia em mangas 'Palmer'. *Research, Society and Development*, 9(7), e383973734-e383973734.

Shea, B. L. (1988). Algorithm AS 239: Chi-squared and incomplete Gama integral. Applied Statistics, 466-473.

Silva, Í. N., de Oliveira, J. B., de Oliveira Fontes, L., & Arraes, F. D. D. (2013). Distribuição de frequência da chuva para região centro-sul do ceará, brasil. *Revista Ciência Agronômica*, 44(3):481 487.

Weibull, W. et al. (1951). A statistical distribution function of wide applicability. Journal of applied mechanics, 18(3):293 297.

Wilk, M. B. & Gnanadesikan, R. (1968). Probability plotting methods for the analysis for the analysis of data. Biometrika, 55(1):1 17.

Ximenes, P. D. S. M. P., da Silva, A. S. A., Ashkar, F., & Stosic, T. (2020). Ajuste de distribuições de probabilidade à precipitação mensal no estado de Pernambuco-Brasil. *Research, Society and Development*, 9(11), e4869119894-e4869119894.