The impact of job satisfaction on employee performance through organizational commitment as intervening variables: A survey on employees of Mitra Swalayan Kartasura

O impacto da satisfação no trabalho no desempenho dos funcionários por meio do comprometimento organizacional como variáveis intervenientes: Uma pesquisa com funcionários da Mitra Swalayan Kartasura

El impacto de la satisfacción laboral en el desempeño de los empleados a través del compromiso organizacional como variables intervinientes: Una encuesta a los empleados de Mitra Swalayna Kartasura

Abstract

Mitra Swalayan Kartasura is a private company engaged in the retail sector to fulfill basic needs. This objective is to address the impact of satisfaction on commitment to organizational commitment, to respond to the significance of the impact of the organization on employee performance, to indicate the significance of the impact of employee performance on satisfaction and whether the organization can mediate employee job satisfaction. This type of research uses the census method for Mitra Swalayan Kartasura employees. This research uses qualitative data and quantitative data. Meanwhile, the data source uses primary data and secondary data. The population is all employees of Mitra Swalayan Kartasura who assess 85 employees. The sample in this study were 85 employees with the census method. The data analysis technique used multiple regression test, t test, F test, indirect effect test, path analysis and coefficient of determination. The results of the study indicate that job satisfaction can have a positive and significant effect on organizational commitment, there is no positive and significant influence between organizational commitment on employee performance, job satisfaction can have a positive and significant effect on employee performance and in this study it cannot prove that organizational commitment mediate job satisfaction on employee performance.
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organizacional, não há influência positiva e significativa entre o comprometimento organizacional no desempenho do funcionário, a satisfação no trabalho pode ter um efeito positivo e significativo no desempenho do funcionário e neste estudo não pode provar esse compromisso organizacional. mediar a satisfação no trabalho no desempenho do funcionário.
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1. Introduction

Human resources are the most important thing of an organization, because it plays an important role in optimizing organizational activities (such as the extent to which the organization's strategy is executed and the time that can be allocated to achieve the assigned goals) (Criveanu & Cârstina, 2018). Human resource management is a way of how goals affect the character and performance of employees in order to make the greatest contribution to achieve company goals (Alami et al., 2015).

Gibson inside Suparyadi (Suparyadi, 2015) stated that job satisfaction and job performance has been explained that job satisfaction affect a different result of job quality, in which employees satisfaction lead to productive work results, however, on the other hand, it will lead to job satisfaction due to other superior performance or work performance, when the result of work become useful if it provide satisfaction.

Most people often talk about job satisfaction because job satisfaction is related to fulfillment of every people needs at work (Willis, 2016). During their work, people can create satisfaction regarding the proper and fair compensation, carry out their preference of work, obtain support from coworkers and leaders, having a safe and comfortable work environment, and use their skills to the fullest. It is different if job satisfaction is more related to individual job, organizational commitment, which is the attitude of a person who is related to the organization as a whole (Ćulibrk et al., 2018). However, between job satisfaction and organizational commitment there is a correlation that generally unidirectional or positive, if an employee is satisfied with his job, usually he will have superior commitment to the place he works. In certain cases, the correlation between job satisfaction and organizational commitment can be unidirectional or negative (Suparyadi, 2015).

According to Luthans (2008) explain if there is a positive correlation between organizational commitment toward the results of organization, related to the superior performance, low employee turnover quality, and low alpha quality. A study found a good correlation between organizational commitment toward performance, therefore someone with low financial needs someone with higher financial.

According to the study of Saufa and Maryati (2017) it explained that there is a positive and significant impact toward job satisfaction to improve the organizational commitment. According to research of Ady and Harfa (2020), it proves that the job satisfaction variable has significant impact and positive coefficient value on organizational commitment. Research by
Nanda and Hidayat (Nanda & Hidayat, 2020) supports that job satisfaction directly has positive impact on organizational commitment, the results found that the existence of worker organizational commitment can increase the provision of superior job satisfaction, because the more superior job satisfaction is created by worker, the greater employee's organizational commitment. However, different research results are shown by Dhurup et al (2016) which states that "continuance commitment reflects a negative association with job satisfaction". And according to Dhurup et al (2016), it can be understood that ongoing commitment reflects negative relationship on job satisfaction.

According to research by Kusuma and Lina (2018), it was explained that their successfully confirmed the positive impact of employee satisfaction on employee performance. The results of this study adding the facts of employees who obtain a job satisfaction will provide a good and positive employee performance. According to the research by Rene and Wahyuni (2018) it explains that the job satisfaction supports a significant impact on individual performance. However, the different result obtained from Saufa and Maryati (2017) which stated that there has no effect of job satisfaction that can increased the Therefore, during their work, nurses has to own a good loyalty and have a serious manner of work by saving other people life.

The research by Nanda and Hidayat (2020) indicated that based on their research, organizational commitment has positive impact on employee performance. A research result by Ady and Harfa (2020) supports that the Organizational Commitment variable has significant effect and positive coefficient value on work performance. It is different with the research results of Suparto and Hardaya (2019) Organizational commitment do not have significant impact on work performance. Based on the research by Nanda and Hidajat (2020), it is explained that organizational commitment can mediate the impact of job satisfaction on employee performance. however the research result are not the same as shown by the research of Kusuma and Lina (2018) which explains that their research has not been able to show the role of employee commitment as intervening variable. a feeling of satisfaction with the work performance of an employee does not necessarily create any attachment to the company (Sageer et al., 2012). Employee satisfaction has not been able to increase the commitment to the organization and have not been able to increase any work performance (Bhatti & Qureshi, 2007).

2. Theoretical Framework

Job satisfaction is the calculation of an activity whether it is interesting or not to be done. A Good job satisfaction can create organizational commitment (Bangun, 2012). Related to the analysis of Kusuma dan Lina (Kusuma & Lina, 2018) who explained that this study was successful showing positive impact on employee satisfaction toward employee performance.

**H1: Job satisfaction has significant effect on employee performance.**

Organizational commitment defined as a measure of employee determination to the organization / company which characterizes their interest as a part of organization, behave well for organization, and continue to carry the company’s brand image (Triatna, 2016). This is supported by research result by Arifhan Ady DJ, Akhdiai Harfa DJ (2020) which explains that Organizational Commitment variable has significant effect and positive coefficient value on performance. It is indicated that organizational commitment can encourage someone to obtain higher performance.

**H2: Organizational commitment has significant effect on employee performance.**

Robbins dan Counter (2018) Employee satisfaction (job satisfaction) refers to the attitude that employees often shows within their work, meanwhile Triatna (2016) explains job satisfaction as “the attitude of workers during their job is a result of their work perceptions”. The results of this study were supported by Ari Deva Nanda, Taofik Hidajat (2020) which states that job satisfaction simultaneously has positive influence on organizational commitmentit can be proves that workers organizational commitment can be better by providing the work satisfaction because the better work satisfaction, the better
employee’s organizational commitment.

**H3: Job satisfaction has significant effect on organizational commitment.**

Job satisfaction is a positive sense of work that obtained from consideration of its broad features (Robbins & Judge, 2018). Serious job satisfaction can generate organizational commitment through serious intervening variables, the results of this study are supported by Ari Deva Nanda, Taofik Hidajat (2020) explains that job satisfaction has positive impact on employee performance through significant organizational commitment, then the implementation of job satisfaction can produce the best organizational commitment and organizational commitment results in a better work performance.

**H4: Organizational commitment mediates the effect of job satisfaction on employee performance.**

3. Research Methodology

This research uses the census method for Mitra Swalayan Kartasura employees. This research uses qualitative and quantitative data and the data source uses primary and secondary data. The population is every employees of Mitra Swalayan Kartasura, within the total amount of 85 employees. The sample in this study were 85 employees within the census method. Data analysis technique uses multiple regression test, t test, F test, indirect effect test, path analysis and coefficient of determination.

Based on the opinion of Bangun (2012) Job satisfaction is an evaluation of an activity whether it is interesting or not interesting. Robbins dan Judge (2018) divided the indicator of job satisfaction into four types include the job, current salary, relationships with superiors and co-workers.

Al Afghoni and Wahyudi inside Kusuma and Lina (2018) describes organizational commitment as the quality of achieving how long worker takes more specific side of an organization and achieves the existing goals, also willing to look after the members of organization. Meanwhile Mangkunegara divide the employee performance indicators into 5 types such as quality, quantity, implementation of tasks, responsibilities and initiatives (Mangkunegara, 2013). “Work performance is a ability that can be implemented based on knowledge, skills and the attitude to gain certain things (Hasibuan, 2010).

Robbins and Judge divided the indicator of job satisfaction into four types consist of affective commitment, continuance commitment and normative commitment (Robbins & Judge, 2018).

4. Results

The results of this study indicate that simple linear regression analysis can be made linear regression of \( Y = 7.273 + 0.308 \times X + e \). The interpretation of regression equation is:

- Constant (a) within a positive parameter of 7.273 explain if job satisfaction (X) is equal to zero, that organizational commitment (Y) is positive.
- The variable regression coefficient value (b) with positive parameters is 0.308, the positive effect is unidirectional, it means that if job satisfaction (X) increases or gets better, organizational commitment (Y) can increase, in the other way if job satisfaction (X) decreases, organizational commitment (Y) can decrease (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant((a))</td>
<td>7.273</td>
<td>1.901</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.826</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.565</td>
<td>8.231</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: SPSS data (2021).
The results of this study also prove that multiple linear regression test, produces a regression equation \( Y = 6.115 + 0.232X + 0.199Z + e \). The interpretation of regression equity is:

The constant (a) positive parameter of 6.115 means that if job satisfaction (X) is equal to zero, organizational commitment (Z) is equal to zero and employee performance (Y) is positive. The value of variable regression coefficient (b1) of variable job satisfaction (X) is 0.232 positive means that job satisfaction (X) is growing better, the employee performance (Y) can also increase, on the contrary, if job satisfaction (Z) decreases, employee performance (Y) will decrease within the assumption of organizational commitment variable (Z) is constant. Regression coefficient value (b2) of organizational commitment variable (Z) is 0.119 positive, it means that if organizational commitment (Z) increases or gets better, the employee performance (Y) will also increase. However, if organizational commitment (Z) decreases, employee performance (Y) will decrease, it assume that the job satisfaction variable (X) is constant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Constanta)</td>
<td>6.115</td>
<td>2.051</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.982</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.429</td>
<td>3.889</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>1.824</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: spss data result (2021).

Based on the results of t test model 1, it can be seen that the significant effect of each independent variable on dependent is described as following below:

**Job Satisfaction (X) toward organizational commitment (Z)**

Job satisfaction is obtained by the value of \( \rho \)-value (significance) = 0.000 < 0.05 therefore H0 is rejected and Ha is accepted, it means that there is a significant effect of job satisfaction on organizational commitment. Therefore, H1 stated that "Job satisfaction (X) has significant effect on organizational commitment (Z)" is proven to be true.

**Organization Commitment (Z) toward economic performance (Y)**

Organizational commitment obtain a value of \( \rho \)-value (significance) = 0.072 > 0.05 thus, H0 is accepted which means
that there is no significant effect of Organizational commitment (Z) toward employee performance (Y). H3 in this study which explained that "Organizational Commitment (Z) has a significant effect on Employee Performance (Y)" cannot be proven as true.

**Table 4.** the result of t-test model 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Constanta)</td>
<td>6.115</td>
<td>2.051</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.982</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.429</td>
<td>3.889</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>1.824</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: spss data result (2021).

Based on the table below, it is known that the magnitude of the F count indicated that the regression model has an F value of 19.425 and significance value (p-value) of 0.000 < 0.05. Moreover, Ho is rejected and Ha is accepted, it means that the model is correct in predicting the impact of X (Job Satisfaction) and X3 (Organizational Commitment) on Y (Employee Performance).

**Table 5.** F test result.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of Square</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>144,435</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>72,217</td>
<td>19.425</td>
<td>0,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>304,860</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3,718</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>449,294</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: spss data result (2021).

The results of the table below indicated that the coefficient of determination (adjusted R Square) for this model is 0.305. It means that the contribution on the influence of independent variables X (Job Satisfaction) and X3 (Organizational Commitment) on Y (Employee Performance) is 30.5%. The rest (100% - 30.5%) = 69.5% is explained by other variables outside the model such as work motivation, leadership and work discipline.

**Table 6.** R² Determination test result.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
<th>Std. Error of the Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.565a</td>
<td>0.319</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td>1.93849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.567a</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>1.92816</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: The obtained data (2021).

t-count value (1,733) < t-tableb = 1.98861 it can be concluded that Organizational Commitment (Z) does not mediate the effect of Job Satisfaction (X) on Employee Performance (Y), H4 which explains that "Organizational Commitment (Z) mediates the effect of Job Satisfaction (X) on Employee Performance (Y)" does not proven to be true.

5. Discussion

The results of the study prove that there is a significant impact on job satisfaction (X) toward the organizational commitment (Z). Therefore, H1 which explains that "job satisfaction has significant effect on organizational commitment to employees of Mitra Swalayan Kartasura" is proven true. Suparyadi (2015) supports that there is a significant relation between...
satisfaction and organizational commitment, it means that employees who obtain a job satisfaction will have an organizational commitment to their organization/company. Job satisfaction will lead to an effective ability to positively contribute to their company and it will gain an organizational goals. The employees did not expect to leave the company even though they receive the highest compensation offer than they receive from the current company. The results of this study also supported by previous research of Ady and Harfa (2020), Nanda and Hidayat (2020) and the research from Saufa and Maryati (2017) which explains that job satisfaction has positive and significant impact on organizational commitment.

The results of this study prove that there is no significant impact of organizational commitment (Z) toward employee performance (X). Therefore, H2 which explains that "organizational commitment has significant effect on employee performance of Mitra Swalayan Kartasura" is uncertain whether it is true or false. A study found a strong correlation between organizational commitment and performance for individual with low financial needs toward individual with higher financial needs. The results of this study are supported by previous research from Suparto and Hardaya (2019) which explains that organizational commitment does not have positive and significant impact on employee performance.

The results of this study explain that there is a significant impact on job satisfaction (X) toward employee performance (Y). then H3 which explains that "job satisfaction has a significant effect on employee performance of Mitra Swalayan Kartasura" is proven to be true. This research supports Gibson's theory in Suparyadi (2015) which suggests that job satisfaction and work performance has reciprocal effect, it is explained that job satisfaction leads to quality performance, which means job satisfaction can make the workers be more productive. However, on different side there is also an incidence of job satisfaction that causes quality performance or work expertise, namely because of the acquisition of a profitable job, it will obtain job satisfaction. The results of this study supported by previous research from Ady and Harfa (2020), Nanda and Hidayat (2020), and also by previous research from Kusuma and Lina (2018) which states that job satisfaction has a positive and significant effect on employee performance.

The results of this study explain that there is no mediating impact of job satisfaction (X) on employee performance (Y) through organizational commitment (Z) as mediating variable, therefore H4 which explains that "organizational commitment mediates the effect of job satisfaction on employee performance of Mitra Swalayan Kartasura" has not proven to be true. This research does not support Suparyadi's (2015) theory which suggests that job satisfaction with organizational commitment has a correlation that is generally unidirectional or positive, which means if an employee is satisfied with his job, they will have a quality commitment to the company. In certain cases, the correlation between job satisfaction and organizational commitment can be unidirectional or negative. The results of this study are supported by previous research from Kusuma and Lina (2018) which explains that this research cannot justify the role of employee commitment as an intervening variable. satisfaction that arise from an employee regarding the company he works have not shown yet. Employee satisfaction has not received an increase regarding their commitment to the organization and has not been able to increase work performance.

6. Conclusion

Based on the results of this study, it was found that job satisfaction could have a positive and significant impact on organizational commitment, organizational commitment to employee performance has positive and insignificant effect, Job satisfaction on employee performance has positive and significant effect. in this study it cannot prove that organizational commitment mediates job satisfaction on employee performance.

The conclusion of this study explains that if the employees of Mitra Swalayan Kartasura are satisfied with their job, it will provide productive performance, produce maximum work and are always enthusiastic at work. However, Mitra Swalayan Kartasura employees have not been able to generate interest to stay and continue to work at Mitra Swalayan Kartasura.
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