What are the scientific facts about the symptoms and treatment of COVID-19 in the pediatric population? A systematic review with overview

Quais são os fatos científicos sobre os sintomas e o tratamento de COVID-19 na população pediátrica? Uma revisão sistemática com visão geral

¿Cuáles son los datos científicos sobre los síntomas y el tratamiento del COVID-19 en la población pediátrica? Una revisión sistemática con una visión general

Abstract

Considering the lower prevalence of pediatric patients with COVID-19, health professionals are more familiar with interventions aimed at adults; thus, understanding the symptoms and which approaches can be useful for pediatric patients with COVID-19 is of great importance for health professionals. The study aimed to aggregate scientific data on procedures and treatments performed on pediatric patients (aged 6 to 17 years) with COVID-19. A systematic literature search was performed in five electronic databases (i.e., PubMed, CINAHL, Google Scholar, and LILACS). Literature analyses were performed in English and Chinese, between November 2019 and December 2020. For data classification, the web application Rayyan® was used. Studies have shown that in most cases, children are asymptomatic. However, when symptomatic, they present fever, cough, intestinal infection, and vomiting. It is
noteworthy that the respiratory rate and stool tests were significant indicators of the disease. Regarding treatment, oxygen support is essential during the hospitalization of patients and, antiviral therapy with Lopinavir and Ritonavir has shown significant results in a few isolated cases. It is concluded that the main symptoms of SARS-CoV-2 in pediatric patients are mild symptoms similar to those of common flu. In addition, respiratory rate and examinations based on fecal samples are good indicators of the disease in children of both sexes, as well as antiviral therapies and early isolation at the beginning of the disease are significant for the healing process.
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### 1. Introduction

In the province of Hubei located in the city of Wuhan in China, during the year 2019, there was the beginning of the outbreak of a respiratory infection caused by a new type of Coronavirus, popularly known as COVID-19 (Du et al, 2020). In that city, a total of 79,968 cases were confirmed, and due to the high transmission capacity of the new virus, this disease spread rapidly to all parts of the world (World Health Organization, 2020).

Thus, after several deaths and infections resulting from COVID-19 in the city of Wuhan, it was found, from respiratory samples from infected individuals, that this disease is caused by the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) (Zhou et al, 2020). In this sense, in March 2020, COVID-19 started to be qualified by the World Health Organization as a pandemic disease, which required the taking of preventive measures (i.e., distance and or social isolation) in the whole world society (Cucinotta, & Vanelli, 2020; de Matos et al, 2020).
Initially, the cases that drew the attention of the health authorities were concentrated in the adult population due to the greater number of people affected and the severity of the disease's progression, which is associated with the higher numbers of hospitalizations due to the disease (Du et al., 2020). The clinical manifestations most commonly seen in those infected are fever, dry cough, sore throat, and tiredness (World Health Organization, 2020). Subsequently, other neurological symptoms such as anosmia (i.e., loss of smell) and ageusia (i.e., loss of taste) were associated, and gastrointestinal symptoms such as diarrhea (Guo et al., 2020).

However, concerning pediatric cases, lower rates of symptomatic progression and lower ratios of hospitalization for the disease were observed compared to the adult population (Wang & Brar, 2020). In general, there was a lower prevalence in adults, which contributes to the relative scarcity of information regarding pediatric cases of COVID-19 (Hoang et al., 2020).

In this way, information about the particularities of COVID-19 in pediatric patients is necessary to aid medical interventions in this population; thus, the present study aimed to aggregate scientific data through a systematic review of cases of COVID-19 in pediatric patients.

2. Methods

Study type and Study protocol record

To ensure greater rigor to this scientific contribution the Systematic Review was adopted as a methodological tool, which is more rigorous and structured compared to other types of review (Aromataris & Pearson, 2014). All the details for the management of this type of study followed the guidelines listed in the PRISMA protocol, as well as those of the Joanna Briggs Institute, reducing the risk of bias (Moher et al., 2015; Moola, 2015).

This research is a rapid systematic review with an overview with registration approved by the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO, ID: CRD42020181835), guaranteeing authenticity, and originality to the study. The registration with PROSPERO is valid for work related to health, being important to avoid duplicate systematic reviews to ensure less risk of bias, and also to ensure a good methodological structuring and impartiality (Rombey, Doni, Hoffmann, Pieper & Allers, 2020). In addition, a previous study by our group (Oliveira et al., 2021) made the protocol of this systematic review publicly available in open access, thus allowing for the transparency of the procedures carried out.

Research methods for identifying studies

For the design of the study, the “PID” method (population, intervention, and design) was used, mentioned in Tufanaru (Tufanaru, Munn, Aromataris, Campbell & Hopp, 2017). In this study, the population was defined as pediatric, while intervention and design remained unfiltered. The studies were searched in four databases (PubMed, Google Scholar, LILACS, and CINAHL), using the date (November 2019 to December 2020) and the language (English and Chinese) as a filter. During searches, the descriptors registered in MesSH “Child, Children and COVID-19” were used, combined with the Boolean operators “OR” and “AND”; Research methods for identifying studies.

Inclusion criteria

Scientific texts that addressed the theme of COVID-19 concerning pediatric patients aged 6 to 17 years were included. The study designs considered were: clinical trials, observational, literature review, systematic review, and brief communications.
Data management

Rayyan ® (Qatar Computing Research Institute, Qatar) was used as a tool for data management, whose functionality in this study consists of storing the selected articles in the databases, through importation, and then filtering them. Through this tool, it is possible to check the level of agreement between researchers and, based on their decisions, include or exclude articles (Ouzzani, Hammady, Fedorowicz & Elmagarmid, 2016).

Classification and data extraction.

The search stage was carried out by three researchers, individually and with a blind assessment. This process was organized into the sub-stages: (i) Selection by title and import in Rayyan®; (ii) Individual reading of the abstracts of articles directly in Rayyan® for inclusion or exclusion, with conflicts resolved by an external researcher; (iii) Reading the studies in full, to see which ones fit the criteria, and in cases of disagreement, there was the presence of a researcher not involved in the search stage.

Bias Analysis

To analyze the methodological quality of the articles classified in (i) Systematic review, (ii) Literature review, (iii) Brief communication, and (iv) Short communication, the Overview Quality Assessment Questionnaire (OQAQ) was used, which offers a score of 1 to 9 for each study, classifying studies <4 as weak and strong those evaluated with a score> 5 (Oxman & Guyatt, 1991). Observational studies were analyzed using the Loney quality scale, which makes it possible to classify the quality of the work structure, which can be defined as “high risk,” “low risk,” or “uncertain risk” (Loney, Chambers, Bennett, Roberts & Stratford, 1998). The entire analysis process focused on the methodological bias, results, reproducibility, quality of evidence, and blinding of the observational study (Loney, Chambers, Bennett, Roberts & Stratford, 1998). The entire bias analysis process was carried out individually, in a blind assessment, by a team of three researchers, who, after completing the stage, communicated to a fourth researcher, who was responsible for removing the blinding, analyzing agreement, and extracting the result end of the process.

Summary of quantitative data

The agreement of the bias analysis between the study researchers was verified by the Interclass Correlation Coefficient (ICC) (for data on the OQAQ scale) and the Kappa coefficient (for data on the Loney scales) (Oxman & Guyatt, 1991; Loney, Chambers, Bennett, Roberts & Stratford, 1998; Miot, 2016). The magnitude adopted was: absence: ICC or Kappa = ≤0; poor: ICC or Kappa = 0-0.19; weak: ICC or Kappa = 0.20-0.39; moderate: ICC or Kappa = 0.30-0.59; substantial: ICC or Kappa = 0.60-0.79; and almost complete: ICC or Kappa = ≥ 0.80 (Miot, 2016). The heterogeneity of the studies was analyzed using Cochran’s Q and I² statistics. The studies were considered heterogeneous when: I²> 50% and significance level <0.05 (Dinnes, Deeks, Kirby & Roderick, 2005). All analyses were performed using the open-source R software (Version 4.0.1, Foundation for Statistical Computing®, Vienna, Austria), which the significance level considered will be p <0.05.

Summary of qualitative data

The analysis of qualitative data was carried out by creating tables with the main features of each article included in this review. When suitable, eligibility figures and procedures were also used to expose the quality of the evidence found (Egger, Smith, Phillips, 1997).
3. Results

**Figure 1.** Flowchart of identification and selection of articles for systematic review.

Studies identified in the databases
- Lilacs (n = 103,559);
- Cinahl (n = 3,703);
- PubMed (n = 71,559);
- Google Scholar (32,971)

Sum of studies (n = 211,792)

Selected after reading titles:
- Lilacs (n = 49);
- Cinahl (n = 2);
- PubMed (n = 176);
- Google Scholar (n = 372)

Total: (n = 599)

Studies selected for full reading after reading abstracts (n = 139)

Studies excluded after reading abstracts:
- Non-focused approach to health (n = 60)
- Inadequate sample (n = 148)
- Patient with multiple diseases (n = 15)
- Inadequate study design (n = 57)
- Incomplete text (n = 84)
- Studies outside research time (n = 94)

Studies excluded after reading in full:
- Not having access to the full text (n = 10)
- Not meeting the inclusion criteria of the study (n = 60)
- Not consistent with the theme of the present study (n = 49)

Included studies (n = 20)

Source: Authors.

Figure 1 shows the flowchart of the general outline of this study concerning the identification and selection of the articles included in this systematic review. The flowchart shows the total number of articles found, the number included and excluded, and the reason for the exclusion.
Table 1. Characteristics of studies grouped by the review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies (Authors)</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shen et al (2020)</td>
<td>- 9 children (1 to 12 years old, unidentified sex).</td>
<td>- Retrospective study. - Epidemiological and clinical data were analyzed concerning the sample analyzed.</td>
<td>- Oxygen support was provided to patients. - Antiviral therapy was performed with lopinavir and ritonavir. - Symptoms such as fever, cough, sore throat, and diarrhea have been shown alone or in combination.</td>
<td>The symptoms of covid-19 in children were atypical, showing less aggression to the body in relation to the symptoms identified in other age groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park et al (2020)</td>
<td>- a 10 year old female child</td>
<td>- Case study. - Nasopharynx, throat, saliva, serum, feces and urine tests, and chest ct scan were performed.</td>
<td>- The child was asymptomatic until the 13th day after the infection. - Small amount of sputum was the only symptom. In addition, nodules were found in subpleural areas of the right lower pulmonary lobe. - Only the stool test result remained positive until the 17th day after the onset of symptoms.</td>
<td>The study could not reach conclusive results due to the lack of studies involving children. However, the study indicates that the analyzed child showed limited symptoms in relation to covid-19.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Su et al (2020)</td>
<td>- 09 children, aged 11 months to 9 years (unidentified sex).</td>
<td>- Retrospective study. - Analysis of clinical conditions, laboratory, and radiological exams.</td>
<td>- Both children show positive results in the detection of nucleic acids. - fever was the only symptom detected. - a patient had bronchitis; however, he did not show respiratory symptoms.</td>
<td>Pediatric patients should be cared for in an environment isolated from other family members, and care should be taken from the initial symptoms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shen &amp; Yang et al (2020)</td>
<td>- Children aged &lt;2 months and &gt;5 years.</td>
<td>- Review article. - Scientific articles that addressed the diagnosis and treatment of covid-19 in children were included.</td>
<td>- Children&gt; 5 years of age had polynepra (≥30 times/min). - Increased respiratory weakness was indicated as an early warning by covid-19 (≥30 times/min for children older than five years).</td>
<td>Respiratory weakness may be a significant indicator of covid-19 in pediatric patients aged&gt; 5 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedrich (2020)</td>
<td>- Children of both sexes, aged between 07 and 13 years.</td>
<td>- Review article. - Scientific articles addressing symptoms of covid-19 in children were included.</td>
<td>- The children had mild symptoms such as fever and cough. - Most of the children had radiographic changes in the chest.</td>
<td>Children appear to be protected from the manifestation of the severe form of the disease, and discontinuation of treatment can be harmful, resulting in crises of the disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang &amp; Brar (2020)</td>
<td>- children of both sexes, aged 6 to 10 years.</td>
<td>- Retrospective study. - Subjects who reported two of the following symptoms were included: symptoms of upper respiratory infection (uri), gastrointestinal symptoms (gi), and fatigue. - Stratification of patients according to severity, mild, moderate, severe, and critical.</td>
<td>- Patients with mild severity had a runny nose, fever, cough, fatigue, sneezing, sore throat, pneumonia. Those of moderate severity had severe respiratory symptoms. - Critically ill patients had acute respiratory distress syndrome or other organ dysfunction. - Both indicated gastrointestinal symptoms, such as abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea.</td>
<td>Gastrointestinal symptoms are present in patients with different levels of disease severity. Patients with mild severity had symptoms similar to a common viral disease, while patients with critical severity have respiratory problems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ji et al (2020)</td>
<td>- male children, nine years old.</td>
<td>- brief report. - retrospective analysis of two pediatric cases confirmed with covid-19.</td>
<td>- the symptom found was mild diarrhea for 2 days with no fever. - no abnormalities were observed in leukocytes, protein c, and chest</td>
<td>There are mild symptoms in pediatric patients with covid-19, which makes it difficult to diagnose the disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Children</td>
<td>Method</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ma et al (2020).</td>
<td>- female children, aged 8 to 9 years.</td>
<td>- short communication.</td>
<td>- positive respiratory samples in patients, and negative for feces in the 1st week.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- use of an analysis kit using the PCR method for testing feces.</td>
<td>- patients had a positive stool test four weeks after the onset of symptoms.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- show positive results in the stool after discharge.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al (2020).</td>
<td>- male children, aged 6 to 9 years.</td>
<td>- short communication.</td>
<td>- after discharge due to recovery from light contamination, samples of SARS-CoV-2 were observed in the feces of pediatric patients within ten days.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- analyzed information collected from children diagnosed with COVD-19.</td>
<td>- SARS-CoV-2 fragments were seen in stool tests after four weeks after low fever, and the elimination of viral RNA occurred in two weeks in the throat smear.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xing et al (2020).</td>
<td>- female children, six years old.</td>
<td>- review article.</td>
<td>- the main symptoms found were, mainly, diarrhea, fever, shock, variable presence of skin rash, edema in the extremities and changes in the mucous membrane, conjunctivitis, abdominal pain, respiratory failure, and vomiting.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- analysis of epidemiological, clinical, laboratory, and treatment data.</td>
<td>- daily monitoring of the smear exams of the throat and feces.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiotos et al (2020).</td>
<td>- children of both sexes aged 6 to 9 years.</td>
<td>- case report.</td>
<td>- the main symptoms found were, mainly, diarrhea, fever, shock, variable presence of skin rash, edema in the extremities and changes in the mucous membrane, conjunctivitis, abdominal pain, respiratory failure, and vomiting.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- analyzed clinical, laboratory, and therapeutic characteristics of a group of children.</td>
<td>- daily monitoring of the smear exams of the throat and feces.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhou et al (2020).</td>
<td>- children infected with COVID-19.</td>
<td>- review article.</td>
<td>- COVID-19 transmissibility was superior to SARS; spread by children is present in COVID-19, unlike SARS, in which it has not been reported.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- to better understand the characteristics of COVID-19, the study was based on experiences learned from SARS, data, and terms of clinical aspects and pathogenesis.</td>
<td>- clinical spectrum: the incubation period was practically the same, while SARS is 2-14 days; COVID-19 is 1-14 days.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhu et al (2020).</td>
<td>- children of both sexes between 6 and 12 years old.</td>
<td>- observational study.</td>
<td>- no patient had leukopenia and lymphopenia at entry.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- collected clinical, epidemiological, and demographic information in children's medical records after their selection in various hospitals.</td>
<td>- an antiviral treatment was performed in 5 patients.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcabee, Bros gol, Pavlakis, Agha &amp; Gaffoor (2020).</td>
<td>- 1 male child, 11 years old.</td>
<td>- correspondence article</td>
<td>- the child had a two-day history of weakness without symptoms related to the respiratory system or fever.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- reported the clinical condition of a child with COVID-19 and its recovery process.</td>
<td>- electroencephalography showed intermittent frontal delta activity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lou, Shi, Zhou &amp; Tian (2020).</td>
<td>- female children aged 6 to 8 years.</td>
<td>- brief communication.</td>
<td>- all children had high temperatures. None had dyspnea or cyanosis.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- reported characteristics of children affected by COVID-19.</td>
<td>- two patients had nasal congestion and rhinitis, associated with fatigue, diarrhea, and headache.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shang et al (2020).</td>
<td>- 1 male child, 7 years old.</td>
<td>- case study.</td>
<td>- the child received medicines of Chinese origin, anti-pyretic, against malaise and strengthening of the body, and inhalation of interferon against viruses.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- interpreted the clinical case of a child with COVID-19 and its progress concerning drug treatment.</td>
<td>- after the treatment, the nucleic acid in the respiratory system evidenced, children with encephalitis due to SARS-CoV-2 infection was recommended, as these patients require greater care.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sars-CoV-2 can be eliminated in the fecal remains of some patients in the recovery phase. Recovered patients may be possible transmitters of COVID-19 through feces, requiring re-evaluation of hospital discharge criteria. Sars-CoV-2 remains for less time in the respiratory system than in the gastrointestinal system in pediatric patients. More epidemiological and clinical data are needed to measure the prevalence of this condition, observing the relationship with infections by sars-CoV-2.

Children infected with COVID-19 had less severe symptoms and better treatment results. Screening of children with encephalitis due to SARS-CoV-2 infection was recommended, as these patients require greater care.

Few cases have been reported about children infected with SARS-CoV-2. Treatment in the early stage of the disease contributed to faster recovery. Drug monitoring is necessary to increase the effectiveness, safety of child medication, and rationality while improving medication adherence.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mehta et al (2020).</td>
<td>- studies on covid-19 in pediatric patients. - a systematic review (meta-analysis). - a quick, systematic review was carried out, with the collection of all literature with pediatric populations linked to covid-19. - limited data show that children are equally susceptible to the disease. - reports of asymptomatic infection and milder development of the disease in children. However, radiological abnormalities have been found. Children appear to be less affected by sars-cov-2 infections. However, this observation can be misleading due to a large number of asymptomatic patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ludvigsson et al (2020).</td>
<td>- children of both sexes with a median age of 6-7 years. - a systematic review. - they sought to identify studies published between january and march 2020 with the theme covid-19 in children. - children accounted for 1% to 5% of diagnosed covid-19 cases. - children have milder illnesses than adults, and deaths have been extremely rare. - the symptoms mentioned are fever and mild respiratory symptoms. Covid-19 disease occurred in children but appeared to have a milder disease course and better prognosis than adults. Deaths were rare.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lan et al (2020).</td>
<td>- four children (a 7-year-old boy, a 7-year-old girl, a 12-year-old boy, and a 13-year-old girl). - observational study. - patients undergoing computed tomography (ct). - data on clinical and computed tomographic characteristics were collected and analyzed. - patients were asymptomatic throughout the course of the disease (ranging from 7 to 15 days), and none of them had abnormalities in blood cell counts. - thin-section ct revealed abnormalities in three patients. - unilateral lung involvement was seen in two patients, and one patient had bilateral lung involvement. Small patches of ground-glass opacity with subpleural distribution and unilateral lung involvement were common findings on ct scans of early-stage pediatric patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhu et al (2020).</td>
<td>- children of both sexes aged between 9 and 17 years old. - observational study. - demographic, epidemiological, and clinical data were collected from medical records. - all patients were confirmed by real-time quantitative reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (rt-pcr) method in throat swab specimen or anal swab specimen. - seven patients had contact with family members diagnosed with covid-19 before disease onset. - common symptoms were fever (40% of patients) and cough (30% of patients). - pneumonia was seen on chest ct images in 50% of patients. Children with covid-19 have less severe symptoms and have better results in treating the disease.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The studies shown in Table 1 indicated that the scientific facts about the symptoms and treatment of COVID-19 in the pediatric population are still inconsistent. In most cases, children remain asymptomatic, which makes it difficult to diagnose the disease. However, from the included studies, seven demonstrated that the main symptoms in pediatric patients are fever and cough, one identified that sore throat is a secondary symptom, and four showed that gastrointestinal symptoms such as intestinal infection and vomiting are also associated with infected pediatric patients by COVID-19.

In addition, four articles showed that after discharge from the hospital, patients continue to eliminate fragments of SARS-CoV-2 through the stool. One study demonstrated the effectiveness of antiviral therapy with Lopinavir and Ritonavir. Six authors identified through computed tomography that the disease slightly affects the respiratory system of pediatric patients. One study identified that patients at serious risk point to respiratory symptoms that require more attention during treatment and, one study showed that respiratory weakness can be a significant indicator for the early detection of COVID-19 contamination.

When considering all studies grouped, the heterogeneity between the studies was P >40% and, the scientific texts gathered were not compatible with carrying out a meta-analysis.

Source: Authors.
Table 2. Analysis of bias in bibliographic studies using the OQAQ scale and agreement index between the evaluators involved in the analyses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies (Authors)</th>
<th>OQAQ Score</th>
<th>ICC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shen et al (2020).</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedrich (2020).</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhou et al (2020).</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mehta et al (2020).</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ma et al (2020).</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al (2020).</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McAbee, Brosgol, Pavlakis, Agha &amp; Gaffoor (2020).</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lou, Shi, Zhou &amp; Tian (2020).</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ludvigsson et al (2020).</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OQAQ = Overview Quality Assessment Questionnaire for review studies (increasing scale from 1 to 9). ICC= Intraclass Correlation Coefficient between the evaluators in relation to the bias analysis scores by the OQAQ. ICC magnitude: absence: ICC = ≤0; poor: ICC = 0-0.19; weak: ICC = 0.20-0.39; moderate: ICC = 0.30-0.59; substantial: ICC = 0.60-0.79; and almost complete: ICC = ≥ 0.80.

Source: Authors.

The bias analysis of the eight review studies showed scores of weak magnitude, suggesting that the studies have significant methodological weaknesses. It should be noted that the degree of agreement between the evaluators involved in the bias analysis was positive, with magnitudes that varied between moderate (ICC: 0.30-0.59) to substantial (ICC: 0.60-0.79) and almost complete (ICC>0.80), as presented in Table 2.
Concerning the nine observational studies, nine studies present low risks for biases related to the answer to the research problem. Seven studies indicate high risks for sample-based biases. Seven studies showed low risks for outcome bias. All indicate low risks for outcome bias. Six studies demonstrated uncertain risks regarding the use of confidence intervals in the presentation of data. Seven studies were classified with low risk concerning practical applicability, and eight of the studies indicated low bias about reproducibility, according to Table 3.

4. Discussion

The study aimed to conduct a rapid systematic review with an overview of the scientific facts regarding the symptoms, and treatment of the pediatric population concerning COVID-19. Thus, the main results were: (1) In most cases, children remain asymptomatic, which makes it difficult to diagnose the disease. (2) The main symptoms in pediatric patients are fever and cough, and the secondary symptoms are sore throat and gastrointestinal symptoms. (3) Patients at serious risk have mild respiratory symptoms, which can be identified by tomography or chest X-ray. (4) Antiviral therapy with Lopinavir and Ritonavir has indicated positive treatment results. (5) Respiratory weakness can be a significant indicator for the early detection of COVID-19 contamination. (6) After discharge from the hospital, patients continue to eliminate fragments of...
SARS-CoV-2 through the stool. (7) Analysis of biases in the review studies indicated scores of weak magnitude, suggesting that the studies have significant methodological weaknesses. (8) Most observational studies demonstrate low risks for biases related to research problems, results, practical applicability, and reproducibility. In addition, high risks for bias to the sample and uncertain risks concerning the use of the confidence intervals of the findings were also reported.

In this sense, we highlight that the topic of results demonstrates that in most cases, children infected with COVID-19 remained asymptomatic, making it difficult to diagnose the disease (McAbee, Brosgol, Pavlakis, Agha & Gaffoor, 2020; Mehta et al, 2020). In this sense, according to Qiu et al (2020), about a third of the patients in a study with 36 participants did not present symptoms, and a fifth presented only pneumonia; thus, more tests were requested to be possible to diagnose the disease, among them did the radiography exam. In this examination, the findings showed two types of presentations, multiple and irregular opacities. However, according to Dhochak, Singhal, Kabra and Lodha (2020), one of the possible explanations for this strong immune barrier against the virus in children, either because they have more effective immune responses (innate and acquired), leaving them asymptomatic; consequently, causing some difficulties in identifying SARS-CoV-2.

Regarding the main pediatric symptoms presented, fever and cough are first and, the secondary ones are sore throat and gastrointestinal symptoms (Wang & Brar, 2020; Shen et al, 2020; Su et al, 2020; Hedrich, 2020; Chiotos, 2020; Lou, Shi, Zhou & Tian, 2020). According to Nunes et al (2020), the main clinical findings of COVID-19 in pediatric patients were fever and cough, followed by diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, and sore throat. The least common were headaches, dyspnoea, and nasal congestion. Ho, Oligbu, Ojubolamo, Pervaiz and Oligbu G (2020), in their study with 820 pediatric patients, demonstrated that fever and cough are the most frequent symptoms of symptomatic patients.

When observing the imaging aspects related to COVID-19's mild respiratory symptoms, the authors' Zhu et al (2020) observed that three out of ten patients in the cases had coughing. In addition, five patients (50%) underwent typical examinations of chest computed tomography images. It is noteworthy that, this exam alone cannot be used to identify COVID-19, and it is not done in asymptomatic cases; thus, three of the ten patients underwent COVID-19 screening after admission to verify their complaints and symptoms, in detail, to be properly diagnosed. Among the five patients, two showed bilateral ground-glass opacity and one in unilateral ground-glass. The authors Hedrich (2020) and Lou, Shi, Zhou e Tian (2020) verified in their studies children with COVID-19 in critical condition, who underwent chest radiographs. Unlike clinical cases in adults or critical elderly people, children did not demonstrate continuous or prolonged clinical or laboratory signs of syndromes (i.e., allergic attacks, dyspnoea, cyanosis, and others); thus, few children needed care or mechanical ventilation.

The topic of results showed that a study demonstrated that the drugs Lopinavir and Ritonavir indicated positive results in the treatment of COVID-19 in pediatric patients (Shen et al, 2020). According to Bonifácio, Faria and Sousa Júnior (2020) the effectiveness of treatment with the aforementioned drugs is inconclusive, since there are no clear benefits. Götzinger et al (2020) state that the opinions on the use of Lopinavir-ritonavir are divided, considering recent studies that have not reached conclusive results regarding the use of the drug for the treatment of COVID-19. In this line of thought, it becomes valid to state that the medications may not be the most suitable for the treatment of COVID-19, but it helps reasonably in some cases occasionally, and randomly.

At this point, it can be noted that respiratory weakness is a factor that may indicate the early detection of SARS-CoV-2, given that it is observed in several clinical signs in the performance of the anamnesis, as well as clinical symptoms reported by patients as abdominal pain, congestion in the pharynx, runny nose, sneezing, cough, and dyspnoea. All of these “common” respiratory symptoms are easily confused with everyday pathologies, such as the flu or allergies, probably due to a failed anamnesis; constantly, leading to inaccurate diagnoses. With a more focused screening on COVID-19, we will have the reduction of clinical errors and the adequate diagnosis in which these symptoms will lead to the appropriate classification, be it asymptomatic, mild, moderate, severe, or critical, consequently reducing the spread of the virus (Shen et al, 2020).
Other results indicate that pediatric patients continue to eliminate fragments of SARS-CoV-2 in the feces after hospital discharge (Park, Han, Park, Kim & Choi, 2020; Ma, 2020; Zhang, 2020; Xing et al, 2020). According to Tian, Rong, Nian and He (2020), the virus enters the gastrointestinal cells, and, in this way, the feces become potentially infectious; therefore, the recommendation of more thorough hygiene is indicated, in addition to isolation of 14 days after the high.

However, in the findings of Tian, Rong, Nian e He (2020), there were some limitations due to the sample size being small, so there is a need for proof with a larger number of samples. The low number of tests in many hospitals and clinics is due to the lack of materials for collection promptly for the pediatric population, in addition to the disparity of information from clinical conditions, and the lack of specific treatment protocols, only sometimes therapies were adopted differentiated. Several clinical cases have shown that some pediatric cases of COVID-19 were initially asymptomatic, or with few differentiating symptoms, which hindered the correct diagnosis. Some of the clinical outcomes were not performed on the date the study was conducted, so these limitations bring significant methodological weaknesses to the interpretation of data from the studies included in this review (Zhou et al, 2020; Shen et al, 2020; Hedrich, 2020; Ma, 2020; Zhang, 2020; McAbee, Bros gol, Pavlakis, Agha & Gaffoor, 2020; Shang et al, 2020, Mehta et al, 2020).

We emphasize that the present study identified in the speech of authors such as Shen and Yang et al (2020), Park, Han, Park, Kim and Choi (2020), Su et al (2020), Xing et al (2020), and Chiotos et al (2020), that the sample used is small, and that they deal directly with ethical guidelines to develop their studies. Few scientific works/action protocols or intervention planning have been validated for large-scale treatments; thus, it is clear that there are problems for the development of their studies, which directly reflects on the research problem, of the result, practical applicability, and reproducibility.

However, the present study has the following limitations: The set of descriptors used in the methodology has a limited scope, and Despite the relevance, the databases used may not have enough for the collection of studies available on the subject. However, this review brings together significant data that can be useful for clinical decision-making concerning the conduct to be taken for the treatment of pediatric patients with COVID-19.

5. Conclusion

It is concluded that the main symptoms of SARS-CoV-2 in pediatric patients are mild symptoms similar to those of common flu, which makes it difficult to identify the disease in this population. In addition, respiratory rate and examinations based on fecal samples are good indicators of the disease in children of both sexes, as well as antiviral therapies and early isolation at the beginning of the disease are significant for the healing process. However, it is emphasized that the scientific facts present in the literature are still inconsistent about the singularity of the symptoms and treatment of SARS-CoV-2 in pediatric patients. Therefore, it is recommended that more studies are conducted on this theme, related to the pediatric public.
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