Arrais, BR, Ferreira, MRA, Silva, TS, Pinto, JFN, Stella, AE, Dias, M, & Moreira, CN (2020). Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) and *Salmonella* spp. in lettuce. *Research, Society and Development*, 9(7): 1-17, e281974150.

*Escherichia coli* produtora de toxinas Shiga (STEC) e *Salmonella* spp. em alface Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) and *Salmonella* spp. in lettuce *Escherichia coli* productora de toxina Shiga (STEC) y *Salmonella* spp. en lechuga

Recebido: 01/05/2020 | Revisado: 04/05/2020 | Aceito: 05/05/2020 | Publicado: 11/05/2020

#### **Bruna Ribeiro Arrais**

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1530-6606

Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biociência Animal, Universidade Federal de Jataí, Brasil. E-mail: brunavet13@gmail.com

#### **Marcos Roberto Alves Ferreira**

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6658-7196

Centro de Desenvolvimento Tecnológico, Biotecnologia, Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Brasil.

E-mail: marcosferreiravet@gmail.com

### Talícia Santos Silva

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7969-9878

Departamento de Análises Clínicas, Toxicológicas e Bromatológicas, Faculdade de Medicina

de Ribeirão Preto, Universidade de São Paulo, Brasil.

E-mail: taliciabiomed@hotmail.com

#### Jefferson Fernando Naves Pinto

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8368-9135

Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biotecnologia e Biodiversidade, Universidade Federal de Jataí, Brasil.

Jalai, Diasii.

E-mail: jeffnaves@gmail.com

### **Ariel Eurides Stella**

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0435-4901

Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biociência Animal, Universidade Federal de Jataí, Brasil. E-mail: ariel.vet@gmail.com

#### Márcia Dias

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1394-6941

Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biociência Animal, Universidade Federal de Jataí, Brasil.

E-mail: diasmarcia@yahoo.com.br

### **Cecília Nunes Moreira**

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7629-3290

Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biociência Animal, Universidade Federal de Jataí, Brasil. E-mail: cecilia\_nunes\_moreira@ufg.br

#### Resumo

As doenças transmitidas por alimentos são relevantes para a saúde pública, especialmente em alimentos contaminados que são consumidos sem cozimento prévio, como alface. O objetivo do presente estudo foi avaliar a contaminação por *Escherichia coli* produtora de toxina Shiga (STEC), *E. coli* e *Salmonella* spp. em amostras de alface. As variáveis foram: tipo de cultura, tipo de estabelecimento e contagem de coliformes. O DNA dos isolados de *E. coli* foi analisado por PCR para a pesquisa de genes de virulência. Isolados confirmados com *Salmonella* nos testes bioquímicos foram submetidos à sorologia com soros anti-*Salmonella*. Trinta amostras de alface foram avaliadas, onze amostras foram positivas para *E. coli* (36,67%) e uma amostra (3,33%) de cultura hidropônica apresentou resultado positivo STEC. Os dois isolados STEC foram positivos para o gene *stx2*. Alfaces cultivadas convencionalmente têm 2,4 vezes maior probabilidade de serem contaminados por *E. coli*. A presença de *Salmonella* spp. foi confirmada em 16,67% (5/30) das amostras. A presença de microrganismos potencialmente patogênicos nas amostras analisadas indica a necessidade de cuidados especiais na preparação de vegetais frescos antes de serem consumidos *in natura*, evitando manuseio excessivo e lavagem com desinfetantes.

Palavras-chave: Contaminação de alface; Segurança alimentar; Verduras; PCR.

#### Abstract

Foodborne illnesses are relevant to public health, especially in contaminated foods that are eaten without prior cooking, such as lettuce. The objective was to evaluate the contamination by Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC), *E. coli* and *Salmonella* spp. in lettuce in commercial food-establishments in the city of Jataí, Goiás, Brazil. The variables were: type of crop, type of establishment and coliform count. The DNA of *E. coli* isolates were analyzed by PCR to the research of virulence genes. Isolates compatible with *Salmonella* in the biochemical tests were submitted for serology with *Salmonella* antisera. Thirty samples of lettuce were evaluated, eleven samples were positive for *E. coli*, (36.67%),

and one sample (3.33%) tested positive for STEC hydroponic crop. The two STEC isolates were positive for the *stx2* gene. Conventionally grown products were 2.4 times more likely to be contaminated with *E. coli*. The presence of *Salmonella* spp. was confirmed in 16.67% (5/30) of the samples. The presence of potentially pathogenic microorganisms in the analyzed samples indicates the need for special care to be taken in preparing fresh vegetables before they are consumed *in natura*, like avoid excessive handling, and washing with sanitizers.

Keywords: Lettuce contamination; Food safety; Green leaves; PCR.

#### Resumen

Las enfermedades transmitidas por los alimentos son relevantes para la salud pública, especialmente en los alimentos contaminados que se comen sin cocción previa, como la lechuga. El objetivo del presente estudio fue evaluar la contaminación por Escherichia coli productora de toxina Shiga (STEC), E. coli y Salmonella spp. sobre lechuga en establecimientos comerciales de alimentos en la ciudad de Jataí, Goiás, Brasil. Las variables fueron: tipo de cultivo, tipo de establecimiento y recuento de coliformes. El DNA de los aislados de E. coli se analizó por PCR para buscar genes de virulencia. Los aislamientos confirmados con Salmonella en pruebas bioquímicas se sometieron a serología con sueros anti-Salmonella. Se evaluaron treinta muestras de lechuga, once muestras fueron positivas para E. coli (36,67%) y una muestra (3,33%) de cultivo hidropónico mostró un resultado STEC positivo. Los dos aislamientos de STEC fueron positivos para el gen *stx2*. Las lechugas cultivadas convencionalmente tienen 2.4 veces más probabilidades de estar contaminadas con E. coli. La presencia de Salmonella spp. se confirmó en el 16.67% (5/30) de las muestras. La presencia de microorganismos potencialmente patógenos en las muestras analizadas indica la necesidad de un cuidado especial en la preparación de vegetales frescos antes de ser consumidos en la naturaleza, evitando el manejo excesivo y el lavado con desinfectantes. Palabras clave: Contaminación de lechuga; Inocuidad de los alimentos; Vegetales; PCR.

#### **1. Introduction**

Vegetables are often consumed fresh and are distinguished by favor factors such as their high nutritional value as they contain vitamins, minerals and fiber, and also by their adaptability for use in meals. They are highly sought after by consumers who aim to have a healthy diet and achieve fitness and health by eating well (Kłapeć et al., 2016).

Among vegetables, lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*), and all its varieties, are considered one of the most consumed green vegetable and is most often used in salad. Due to their large folded surface area, as in other leafy vegetables, they have a greater capacity for maintaining microorganisms across the different stages of their production up to their arrival on the table of the consumer (Ryu et al., 2014). This presents a high risk of contamination, which may adversely affect the health of consumers. In addition, the use of natural fertilizers such as animal manures and residual irrigation water may facilitate the proliferation and contamination of pathogenic microorganisms in these foods (Decol et al., 2017).

Due the possibility of green leaves contamination, salads may host several species of microorganisms that influence the food's microbiological quality, such as mesophilic bacteria, thermotolerant coliforms, *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Salmonella* spp. (Allen et al., 2013). In Brazil, lettuce production accounts for 11% of all vegetable production and around 525,602 tons of letter are produced annually, with a significant increase in production being observed between 2000 and 2011 (Suinaga et al., 2013). With the increase in consumption and the low microbiological quality of this food, the possibility of FBD outbreaks linked to the consumption of lettuce is considered a risk to public health.

In Brazil, the surveillance and microbiological control of fresh vegetable products is limited. Consequently, there is little information about the presence of pathogens in these products and the potential public health risks they pose. The objective of this study was to analyze the contamination by *E. coli*, STEC and *Salmonella* spp. in lettuce, as well as the prevalence of these bacteria in commercial food-selling establishments in Jataí, Goiás, Brazil.

### 2. Material and Methods

### **Experimental design**

Thirty samples of commercially-sold lettuce purchased in the city of Jataí, Goiás, Brazil were analyzed. The commercial sale of lettuce was the selection criterion for the inclusion of food-selling establishments in this study. The points of sale were visited at random, and the samples were acquired simulating conventional acquisition. They were evaluated by the type of vegetable cultivation used in their production (hydroponic or conventional), the type of establishment from which they were purchased (supermarkets, free fairs, and vegetable gardens) and MPN/g (appropriate or inappropriate), for the evaluation the presence of *E. coli* and *Salmonella* spp. in lettuce samples. The samples were processed according to protocol recommended by brazilian legislation (Brasil, 2003).

#### Escherichia coli isolation

Isolation of *E. coli* was performed as described by Brasil (2003). A total of 25 g of each sample was added to a plastic stomacher bag together with 225 mL of lactosate broth homogenized in stomacher and thereafter incubated for 24 h, 37 °C, 120 rpm. Following incubation, from this solution a 10  $\mu$ l loop sown the sample was cultivated using a methylene blue agar and incubated again for 24 h at 37 °C. After 24 h, of each plate up to five colonies were *E. coli* suggestive colonies were selected for confirmation by the biochemical tests TSI, Indol, Methyl Red, Voges Proskauer and Citrate (IMVic). *E. coli* was stored on agar conservation and lyophilized for the subsequent realization of genotypic characterization tests.

### Salmonella spp. isolation

Isolation of *Salmonella* spp. was performed as described by Brasil (2003). A total of 25 g of the sample was suspended in 225 mL of buffered saline solution (pH 7.6) with the objective of promoting pre-enrichment. From the enrichment medium, 1 mL was inoculated in tubes containing 10 mL of Rappaport Broth and tubes with 10 mL of Selenite Sistine Broth incubated at 41 °C for 24 h to 30 h in a water bath. From the selective broth, the samples were then plated on *Salmonella-Shigella* agar (SS) and bright green agar phenol lactose sucrose (BPLS), where three to ten colonies were selected and submitted for biochemical identification tests (TSI, Indole, Citrate, MR-VP, urease). Isolates compatible with *Salmonella* spp. in the biochemical tests were submitted for serology with *Salmonella* antisera (antigens O groups A, B, C, D, E and Vi. Flagellar antigens a; b; w; d; i; 1, 2, 5.).

### Most Probable Number (MPN)

Presumptive tests were performed for total coliforms and thermotolerant coliforms according to Brasil (2003). The results were expressed as MPN/g (appropriate or inappropriate) for consumption.

## PCR detection of Escherichia coli virulence genes

DNA extraction of *E. coli* isolates was performed by thermal lysis. Following extraction, the DNA were analyzed by PCR to identify the possible STEC, using a solution of a final volume of 25  $\mu$ L, consisting of 2.5  $\mu$ L of DNA, 10 pmoL of each primer, 10 mM dNTP, 50 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, buffer 10× and 1 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen, USA).

Amplification conditions were performed with an initial denaturation at 94 °C for two minutes, followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for one minute (denaturation), 55 °C for one minute (annealing primers) and 72 °C for one minute (extension). The final extension was performed for ten minutes at 72 °C. The protocol for the amplification conditions was previously described by Paton and Paton (1998) as *stx2* and *rfbO157*; by Gannon et al. (1997) as *fliCh7*; by Wang et al. (2002) as *stx1* and *eae*; by Paton and Paton (1998) as *saa*; by Blanco et al. (2004) as *ehxA*; and by Yamamoto et al. (1995) as *cnf1* (Table 1). *E. coli* O157:H7 (ATCC EDL 933) was used as a positive control and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (ATCC BAA 1705) was used as a negative control for PCR. The PCR product was loaded onto a 2% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide (0,5  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L) in a 1 × TAE running buffer (89 mM Tris, 89 mM ácido bórico; 2,5 mM de EDTA, pH 8,0) and electrophoresed at 70 V for 90 min. For the strains positive for *stx1* and/or *stx2*, the virulence factors *eae*, *ehxA*, *saa* and *cnf1* were also evaluated.

Gene	Sequence (5' to 3')	Product size (bp)	Reference	
eae	ATGCTTAGTGCTGGTTTAGG	248	Wang et al. (2002)	
	GCCTTCATCATTTCGCTTTC			
rfb0157	CGGACATCCATGTGATATGG	259	Paton and Paton (1998)	
	TTGCCTATGTACAGCTAATCC			
fliCh7	GCGCTGTCGAGTTCTATCGAGC	625	Gannon et al. (1997)	
	CAACGGTGACTTTATCGCCATTCC			
saa	CGTGATGAACAGGCTATTGC	119	Paton and Paton (1998)	
	ATGGACATGCCTGTGGCAAC			
ehxA	GGTGCAGCAGAAAAAGTTGTAG	1551	Blanco et al. (2004)	
	TCTCGCCTGATAGTGTTTGGTA			
cnf1	AAGATGGAGTTTCCTATGCAGGAG	498	Yamamoto et al. (1995)	
	CATTCAGAGTCCTGCCCTCATTATT			
stx1	TCTCAGTGGGCGTTCTTATG	338	Wang et al. (2002)	
	TACCCCCTCAACTGCTAATA			
stx2	GGCACTGTCTGAAACTGCTCC	255	Paton and Paton (1998)	
	TCGCCAGTTATCTGACATTCTG			

**Table 1.** PCR primers used for detection of virulence factors genes of STEC.

### Statistical analysis

The data were computed using the Statistical Analysis System - SAS v.9.3 (2010) program to 5% probability. The Fisher's Exact Test was used separately for each microorganism and variable. The microorganisms evaluated were *E. coli* and *Salmonella* spp. The variables were: Type of crop (1. Hydroponic or 2, Convetional), type of establishment (1. Supermarkets or 2. Vegetable gardens/free fairs) and coliform count (1. Inappropriate for consumption (i.e. more than  $10^2$  MPN/g at 45 °C) or 2. Appropriate for consumption (i.e. maximum  $10^2$  MPN/g at 45 °C).

# 3. Results

Analysis revealed that 43.3% (13/30) of the samples examined were of the conventional type and 56.7% (17/30) were of the hydroponic type, and 60% (18/30) were purchased from supermarkets and 40% (12/30) from free fairs/vegetable gardens. In terms of the thermotolerant coliform count (MPN), 86.7% (26/30) of the samples were considered inappropriate for consumption according to the National Sanitary Surveillance Agency parameters presented above, and just 13.3% (4/30) were found to be within permissible parameters, values ranged from absent to  $1.6 \times 10^5$  MNP (Table 2).

Samples	Tune of area	Type of	ТС	TTC	Salmonella	Escherichia	
Samples	Type of crop	establishment	MPN/g		spp.	coli	
1	Hydroponic	Supermarket	1.6 ×10 <sup>5</sup>	1.6 ×10 <sup>5</sup>	Absent	Absent	
2	Hydroponic	Supermarket	$1.6 \times 10^5$	1.6 ×10 <sup>5</sup>	Absent	Absent	
3	Hydroponic	Supermarket	$1.6 \times 10^5$	$1.6 \times 10^{5}$	Absent	Absent	
4	Hydroponic	Supermarket	1,6 ×10 <sup>5</sup>	$1.6 \times 10^{5}$	Absent	Present	
5	Hydroponic	Supermarket	$1.6 \times 10^5$	$1.6 \times 10^{5}$	Absent	Absent	
6	Conventional	Vegetable	$1.6 \times 10^{5}$	1.6 ×10 <sup>5</sup>	Absent	Present	
7	Hydroponic	gardens /fairs* Vegetable gardens /fairs*	7 ×10 <sup>2</sup>	5.4 ×10 <sup>3</sup>	Absent	Absent	
8	Hydroponic	Supermarket	$1.6 \times 10^{5}$	$1.6 \times 10^{5}$	Absent	Absent	
9	Hydroponic	Vegetable gardens /fairs*	1.6×10 <sup>5</sup>	1.6 ×10 <sup>5</sup>	Absent	Absent	
10	Hydroponic	Supermarket	$1.6 \times 10^{5}$	1.6 ×10 <sup>5</sup>	Absent	Absent	
11	Hydroponic	Supermarket	$1.6 \times 10^{5}$	1.6 ×10 <sup>5</sup>	Absent	Present	
12	Conventional	Supermarket	$1.6 \times 10^{5}$	$1.6 \times 10^{5}$	Present	Present	
13	Conventional	Supermarket	$9 \times 10^{3}$	$1.6 \times 10^{5}$	Present	Absent	

**Table 2.** Analyzed lettuce samples (*Lactuca sativa*).

			-	U		
14	Hydroponic	Supermarket	$3.6 \times 10^{3}$	$9 \times 10^{2^{**}}$	Absent	Absent
15	Hydroponic	Supermarket	Ausente	Ausente**	Absent	Absent
16	Hydroponic	Supermarket	$1.6 \times 10^5$	$1.6 \times 10^{5}$	Present	Absent
17	Conventional	Vegetable	$8 \times 10^2$	$9 \times 10^{3}$	Absent	Absent
		gardens /fairs				
18	Conventional	Vegetable	$8 \times 10^2$	2.9 ×10 <sup>2**</sup>	Absent	Present
		gardens /fairs				
19	Conventional	Vegetable	$1.6 \times 10^{5}$	2.9 ×10 <sup>2**</sup>	Absent	Present
		gardens /fairs				
20	Conventional	Supermarket	1.6 ×10 <sup>5</sup>	4.5 ×10 <sup>2**</sup>	Present	Present
21	Conventional	Vegetable	$1.7 \times 10^{2}$	$1 \times 10^{2^{**}}$	Absent	Absent
		gardens /fairs				
		*				
22	Hydroponic	Supermarket	1.6 ×10 <sup>5</sup>	$9 \times 10^{3}$	Absent	Present
23*	Hydroponic	Vegetable	$1.6 \times 10^5$	1.3 ×10 <sup>2**</sup>	Absent	Present
		gardens				
		/fairs*				
24	Hydroponic	Supermarket	1.6 ×10 <sup>5</sup>	Absent **	Absent	Absent
25	Hydroponic	Supermarket	$1.6 \times 10^{5}$	1.6 ×10 <sup>5</sup>	Absent	Absent
26	Hydroponic	Supermarket	$1.6 \times 10^{5}$	1.6 ×10 <sup>5</sup>	Absent	Absent
27	Conventional	Vegetable	1.6 ×10 <sup>5</sup>	$8.2 \text{ x} 10^4$	Present	Present
		gardens				
		/fairs*				
28	Conventional	Vegetable	$1.6 \times 10^{5}$	1.6 ×10 <sup>5</sup>	Absent	Absent
		gardens /fairs				
29	Conventional	Vegetable	$1.6 \times 10^{5}$	1.6 ×10 <sup>5</sup>	Absent	Absent
		gardens /fairs				
30	Conventional	Vegetable	1.6 ×10 <sup>5</sup>	1.6×10 <sup>5</sup>	Absent	Present
		gardens /fairs				
	1 1 1	/ •		1 1 10		

Analyzed lettuce samples (*Lactuca sativa*). TC: total coluiforms; TTC: t thermotolerant coliforms (\*) Samples bought at a fair. (\*\*) Positive sample for STEC; Permissible counts of thermotolerant coliforms (TTC) (BRASIL, 2001).

The presence of *E. coli* was confirmed in 11 samples, representing a frequency of 36.7% (11/30) and there was no difference for the type of cultivation (P=0.2556), and for the type of establishment P=0.6272) e MPN (P=0.7046). Of these contaminated samples according the type of cultivation 36.36% (4/11) were from hydroponic lettuces e 63.64% (7/11) were from conventional cultivation, corresponding to 23.5% (4/17) were from hydroponic lettuces and 53.9% (7/13) were from conventional cultivation. Evaluating the effect of cultivating lettuce isolates in the final model, conventionally grown products were 2.4 times more likely to be contaminated with *E. coli* (Table 3),

According to the commercial establishment in which the samples were purchased; we observed that 27.8% (5/18) of the supermarket samples and 50% (6/12) of the free fairs/vegetable gardens samples were contaminated (MPN/g), 38.5% (10/26) inappropriate and 25% (1/4) appropriate. The frequency of STEC in the total analyzed lettuce was 3.33% (1/30). This single positive sample was acquired at a free fair. Among the isolates *E. coli*, 7.14% (2/28) were confirmed as STEC (*stx1*<sup>+</sup> or *stx2*<sup>+</sup>). The two *E. coli* STEC were positive for the *stx2* gene, but the genes *ehxA*, *flicH7*, *rfbO15*, *eae* and *saa* were not found in these strains.

**Table 3.** Odds ratio of the estimates of the final multivariate dichotomous logistic regression

 model for the presence of Escherichia coli in the hydroponic crop lettuce samples.

Variable		Odds ratio	IC	P-value
Type of crop	Conventional	2.420	1.116 a 5.247	0.0252
	x hydroponic			

The presence of *Salmonella* spp. was confirmed in 16.7% (5/30) of the samples. For the presence of *Salmonella* spp., there was also no difference for the type of crop (P=0.0131), and for type of establishment (P=0.0283), MPN (P=0.1545) (Table 5). A total of 30.8% (4/13) of the conventionally cultivated lettuce were positive, while, of the hydroponics, 5.9% (1/17) were contaminated. Among the samples that were contaminated, 22.2% (4/18) were acquired from supermarkets and 8.3% (1/12) from free fairs/vegetable gardens (Table 5). Of the 22 samples found to be unsuitable for consumption because they contained higher than permitted values of thermotolerant coliforms (TtC), 15.4% (4/26) were contaminated with *E. coli*, and 25% (1/4) with *Salmonella* spp. However, of the eight samples with permissible values, 50% were contaminated with *E. coli*, and 12.50% with *Salmonella* spp.

**Table 4.** Presence of *Salmonella* spp. in lettuce samples according to the type of crop, MPN

 and the type of food-selling establishment the lettuce was purchased from.

Salmonella sp.	Type of crop	MPN	Type of establishment
P-Value	0.0131	0.1545	0.0283

**Table 5.** Odds ratio of the multivariate dichotomous logistic regression for the presence of *Salmonella* spp. in hydroponic crop lettuces samples and samples purchased from supermarkets.

Variable			Odds ratio	IC (95%)	P-value <sup>1</sup>
Type of grop	Conventional	×	39.883	1.945 a 817.726	0.0168
Type of crop	Hydroponic				
Type of establishment	Vegetable gardens/fairs Supermarkets	×	0.041	0.002 a 0.860	0.0397

<sup>1</sup> Wald test.

The presence of 55 *E. coli* strains was confirmed. Of these, 36.4% (20/55) were present in the hydroponic lettuce and 63.6% (35/55), in the conventionally cultivated lettuce. When we analyzed the isolates obtained according to their purchase location, 45.5% (25/55) and 54.5% (30/55) came from supermarkets and free fairs/vegetable gardens, respectively.

### 4. Discussion

Brazilian legislation does not provide for a maximum limit for the presence of *E. coli* in vegetables; however, it establishes the maximum limit of  $10^2$  MPN/g for coliforms (Brasil, 2003). *E.* coli is included in the coliform group and 63.64% (7/11) of samples that tested positive for *E. coli* in this study were found to be beyond safe limits for coliforms, that is, testing returned results above the limit of  $10^2$  MPN/g. Mora et al. (2011) evaluated 200 vegetable samples and isolated *E. coli* in 195, yet all showed satisfactory quality, that is, that were within permissible coliform limits. According to Gomes Neto et al. (2012), the presence of several strains of *E. coli* suggests that this contamination may be of fecal origin, and also indicates a greater probability of contamination with other pathogenic bacteria; therefore, these vegetables are kept in unsanitary conditions, representing a risk to consumers' health.

In the present study, 36.7% (11/30) of the lettuce samples were contaminated with *E. coli*. This result is similar to that reported Khatib et al. (2015), who found *E. coli* contamination in 36% of the lettuces analyzed in Lebanon, but lower than the figures reported by Greve et al. (2015), which found *E. coli* in 98.2% of 720 lettuce samples purchased in the Upper Midwest of the United States The samples were sold commercially in Campinas, São Paulo. In Rio de Janeiro, Brandão et al. (2014) found *E. coli* in 70% of fresh lettuce samples, a far high result than the one reported in our study.

Stx family is divided into two groups: stx1 and stx2. Stx variants include three stx1 subtypes and seven stx2 subtypes. However, stx2 is considered clinically to be the most important type and is more likely to cause Uremic Hemolytic Syndrome in humans. In addition, it is reported that the development of infection by strains that contain stx2 is more likely than by strains containing stx1 or both stx1 and stx2 genes. The STEC from the lettuce samples were positive only for the stx2 gene, which shows that lettuces sold in Jataí, Goiás, Brazil, can carry microorganisms that are potential pathogenic to humans. It was confirmed that this isolate belonged to the STEC group and not EHEC, as it detected the stx2 gene, but did not present other virulence factors investigated and related to the EHEC group (Wang et al., 2002).

In a study in Iran with 100 lettuce samples, eight STEC were found, with the presence of *stx1* genes identified in 37.5% (3/8) and *stx2* in 62.5% (5/8). All samples found to be positive for *stx2* also tested positive for *rfbO157* and *flicH7* genes Mazaheri et al. (2014). Moreover, Khatib et al. (2015) found STEC in 17.9% (7/39) of the isolates examined, with two of the positive samples being from lettuces. These studies demonstrate a higher percentage of STEC than the present study, which reports a prevalence of 3.33% (1/30).

The presence of positive strains for the stx1, stx2, and *eae* genes in vegetables is highly variable (Dutta et al., 2014). This variability of results may be related to the methodologies used, to the difficulty in standardization of methodologies and the different *E. coli* populations circulating between animals and in food contaminated by feces, mainly bovine manure.

In the current study, the presence of STEC in lettuce produced using hydroponic systems may be related to the use of contaminated water. Studies confirm the contamination of water with STEC and other pathogenic *E. coli*, indicating water as a potential vehicle for these agents and demonstrating the survival and multiplication of these agents in study samples (Ceuppens et al., 2015). According to Wang et al. (2002), *E. coli* O157: H7 has great survival capacity in water and can remain in pond water for up to 13 weeks at 15 °C. The

positive result for STEC in the present study agrees with previous research that has detected this pathogen in cattle and water from rural properties in the state of Goiás, revealing that these animals are important reservoirs of this microorganism and that they can excrete it in their feces, contaminating water, plantations and, consequently, vegetables and fruits (Ferreira et al., 2014).

The presence of *Salmonella* spp. in 16.67% (5/30) of the analyzed samples shows the low microbiological quality of lettuces marketed in the city of Jataí. A study examining vegetables from Colombia, the Dominican Republic, Guatemala, Mexico and the United States found no vegetables, including lettuce, contaminated with *Salmonella* spp. (Allen et al., 2013). In Brazil, Brandão et al. (2014) not found *Salmonella* spp. in any analyzed lettuce sample. Other studies carried out in different regions of the world have reported a lower prevalence of the microorganism than the present study or have reported no presence of the microorganism at all (Ceuppens et al., 2015; Kłapeć et al., 2016).

Allen et al. (2013) show that between 1995 and 2011 there were 54 FBD outbreaks worldwide specifically linked to the consumption of lettuce and other leafy vegetables, causing illness in more than 2,426 patients in Canada, Denmark, the United Kingdom and the United States, with *Salmonella* spp. among the etiological agents associated with these outbreaks. According to Gomes-Neto et al. (2012) between the year 2000 and 2012, *Salmonella* spp. was the most important pathogen associated with FBD outbreaks in Brazil.

The type of crop revealed differences in the presence of *E. coli* and *Salmonella* spp. in the samples, confirming that the type of crop may influence the level of contamination. In this work, conventionally cultivated crops were more likely to be contaminated (Gomes Neto et al., 2012). This can be explained by Marine et al. (2015) who argue that conventional cultivation may favor the growth of microorganisms and even cross-contamination between the water and organic fertilizers used.

According Ceuppens et al. (2015) the quality of irrigation water used in this type of crop can be both a source and a route of microbial contamination. In addition, the type of irrigation used may influence microbial growth. In the same study, the use of flood irrigation increased the prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. in fresh vegetables. In addition, the use of manure-type fertilizer as organic fertilizer and the combination of livestock and production of fresh vegetables are also considered potential risk factors.

It is important to emphasize that soil is an important source of contamination, and, in contrast to a hydroponic cultivation system, in a conventional cultivation system plants have direct contact with the soil, which may explain the different data found in this work (Gomes

Neto et al., 2012). Furthermore, the prevalence of these pathogens in the agricultural environment may vary according to the climatic characteristics of a region, such as increased temperatures and flooding, which may have a positive or negative impact on the survival of coliforms and, consequently, may increase or decrease the risk of contamination of fresh vegetables and associated FBD outbreak frequency.

The differences observed between the two types of crop was similar to the differences reported by Gomes Neto et al. (2012) who concluded that lettuces from conventional and organic crop systems presented poor hygienic-sanitary quality and higher contamination than hydroponic lettuces. Moreover, their results showed that the cleaning of lettuces with sterile water was sufficient to reduce the number of microorganisms to safe levels in hydroponic samples; therefore, greater care regarding hygiene is required during all stages of plant production, such as the adoption of good agricultural practices.

In conclusion, the presence of pathogenic microorganisms in the analyzed samples indicates the need for special care to be taken in the sanitization of fresh vegetables before they are consumed *in natura*. Although the present study did not isolate O157: H7 strains in lettuce, other pathogenic microorganisms, such as *Salmonella* spp., *E. coli*, STEC, coliforms, thermotolerant coliforms and mesophilic bacteria were found.

#### References

Allen KJ; Kovacevic J; Cancerevic A; Wood J; Xu J; Gill B; Allen JK & Mesak LR. (2013). Microbiological survey of imported produce available at retail across Canada. *Int J Food Microbiol*, 162, 135–142.

Beutin L & Martin A. (2012). Outbreak of Shiga Toxin–Producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) O104:H4 infection in Germany causes a paradigm shift with regard to human pathogenicity of STEC strains. *J Food Prot*, 75, 408–418.

Brandão MLL; Almeida DO; Bispo FCP; Bricio SML; Marin VA & Miagostovich MP. (2014). Assessment of Microbiological Contamination of Fresh, Minimally Processed, and Ready-to-Eat Lettuces (*Lactuca sativa*), Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil. *J Food Sci*, 79.

Brasil. Ministério da Agricultura, Pecuária e Abastecimento. Secretaria de Defesa Agropecuária. Instrução Normativa Nº 62, de 26 de agosto de 2003. Oficializar os Métodos

Analíticos Oficiais para Análises Microbiológicas para Controle de Produtos de Origem Animal e Água. Diário Oficial da União, Brasília, 18 set. 2003. Seção 1, p. 14.

Ceuppens S; Johannessen GS; Allende A; Tondo EC; El-Tahan F; Sampers I; Jacxsens L & Uyttendaele M. (2015). Risk factors for *Salmonella*, Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Campylobacter* occurrence in primary production of leafy greens and strawberries. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, 12, 9809–9831.

Decol LT; Casarin LS; Hessel CT; Batista, ACF; Allende A & Tondo EC. (2017). Microbial quality of irrigation water used in leafy green production in Southern Brazil and its relationship with produce safety. *Food Microbiol*, 65, 105–113.

Dutta A; Joshi N; Joshi RK & Kamal A. (2014). Molecular Characterization of *E. coli* Isolated from Raw Vegetable. *Adv Anim Vet Sci*, 2, 42–45.

Ferreira MRA; Filho EGF; Pinto JFN; Dias M & Moreira CN. (2014). Isolation, prevalence, and risk factors for infection by shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) in dairy cattle. *Trop Anim Health Prod*, 46, 635–639.

Gannon VP; D'Souza S; Graham T; King RK; Rahn K & Read S. (1997). Use of the flagellar H7 gene as a target in multiplex PCR assays and improved specificity in identification of enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli strains. *J Clin Microbiol*, 35, 656–662.

Gomes Neto NJ; Pessoa RML; Queiroga IMBN; Magnani M; Freitas FIS; Souza EL & Maciel JF. (2012). Bacterial counts and the occurrence of parasites in lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) from different cropping systems in Brazil. *Food Control*, 28, 47–51.

Greve JD; Zietlow MS; Miller KM & Ellingson JLE. (2015). Occurrence of coliform and *Escherichia coli* contamination and absence of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 on romaine lettuce from retail stores in the upper midwest. *J Food Prot*, 78, 1729–1732.

Khatib A; Olama Z & Khawaja G. (2015). Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* (STEC) associated with Lebanese fresh produce. *Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci*, 4, 481–496.

Kłapeć T; Cholewa A; Cholewa G; Dutkiewicz J & Wójcik-Fatla A. (2016). Microbiological characterization of vegetables and their rhizosphere soil in Eastern Poland. *Ann Agric Environ Med*, 23, 559–565.

Marine SC; Pagadala S; Wang F; Pahl DM; Melendez MV; Kline WL; Oni RA; Walsh CS; Everts KL; Buchanan RL & Micallef SA. (2015). The growing season, but not the farming system, is a food safety risk determinant for leafy greens in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the United States. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, 81, 2395–2407.

Mora A; Herrrera A; Lopez C; Dahbi G; Mamani R; Pita JM; Alonso MP; Llovo J; Bernárdez MI; Blanco JE; Blanco M & Blanco J. (2011). Characteristics of the Shiga-toxin-producing enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli* O104:H4 German outbreak strain and of STEC strains isolated in Spain. *Int Microbiol*, 14, 121–141.

Paton A & Paton J. (1998). Detection and characterization of shiga toxigenic *Escherichia coli* by using multiplex enterohemorrhagic *E. coli hlyA*, *rfbO111*, and detection and characterization of shiga toxigenic *E. coli* by using multiplex PCR Assays for *stx1*, *stx2*, *eae*. *J Clin Microbiol*, 36, 598–602.

Ryu JH; Kim M; Kim EG; Beuchat LR & Kim H. (2014). Comparison of the Microbiological Quality of Environmentally Friendly and Conventionally Grown Vegetables Sold at Retail Markets in Korea. *J Food Sci*, 79, M1739–M1744.

Suinaga FA; Boiteux L; Cabral C & Rodrigues C. (2013). Desempenho produtivo de cultivares de alface crespa. *Bol Pesqui e Desenvolv*, 89, 1–59.

Wang G; Clark C & Rodgers F. (2002). Detection in *Escherichia coli* of the genes encoding the major virulence factors, the genes defining the O157 : H7 serotype , and components of the type 2 shiga toxin family by multiplex pcr detection in *Escherichia coli* of the genes encoding the major Vi. *J Clin Microbiol*, 40, 3613–3619.

Yamamoto S; Terai A; Yuri K; Kurazono H; Takeda Y & Yoshida O. (1995). Detection of urovirulence factors in *Escherichia coli* by multiplex polymerase chain reaction. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol*, 12, 85–90.

# Percentage of contribution of each author in the manuscript

Bruna Ribeiro Arrais – 14,3% Marcos Roberto Alves Ferreira - 14,3% Talícia Santos Silva - 14,3% Jefferson Fernando Naves Pinto - 14,3% Ariel Eurides Stella - 14,3% Márcia Dias - 14,3% Cecília Nunes Moreira - 14,3%